CONSORT PARK

SECOND COMMUNITY CONSULTATION SUMMARY

ALL COMMENTS BY TOPIC

Q1. Overall, what do you think about Option A?

Option A comments	Percentage	Times mentioned
Like option A	22%	*64*
Keep gates/fence	13%	*38*
Like fitness area	7%	*21*
Like wildlife improvements	7%	*20*
Remove grassy mounds	6%	*17*
Anti-social dog behaviour	3%	*9*
Design makes park feel safer	2.5%	*8*
Parking concerns/turning area concern	2.5%	*8*
Don't fell trees	2.25%	*7*
Install lighting	2%	*6*
Keep grassy mounds/make mounds safe	2%	*6*
Prefer wooden play equipment	1.5%	*5*
Include more picnic benches/benches	1.5%	*5*
Ensure disability access	1.5%	*5*
Include a dog exercise area	1.5%	*5*
For skate park	1.5%	*5*
Needs more wildlife improvements	1.5%	*5*
No gates/fence	1.5%	*4*
Against skate park	1.5%	*4*
Remove mounds on edge of playground/cut back conifer trees/remove litter from that area/install fence there	1.5%	*4*
Like metal play equipment	1%	*3*
Include suitable play for younger children/imaginative play	1%	*3*
Anti-social behaviour	1%	*3*
Traffic calming	1%	*3*
Play equipment needs to be suitable for disabled children	0.75%	*2*
No benches on new Consort Park path	0.75%	*2*
Include additional sports/football court	0.75%	*2*
Include water fountain	0.75%	*2*
Further consultation required	0.75%	*2*
Include more cycle parking	0.5%	*1*
Neutral about fitness area	0.5%	*1*
Include information about Dr Harold Moody Park	0.5%	*1*
Include zebra crossing on Sturdy Road instead of closure	0.5%	*1*
Include sports court floodlights	0.5%	*1*

For loss of parking	0.5%	*1*
Include a pond	0.5%	*1*
Improvements will need to be maintained	0.5%	*1*
Like rain gardens	0.5%	*1*
Include art in the park	0.5%	*1*
Include water play	0.5%	*1*
Include notice board	0.5%	*1*
Include dog bins	0.5%	*1*
No meadow, orchard instead	0.5%	*1*
Against fitness area	0.5%	*1*
No wooden seating	0.5%	*1*
No meadow area	0.5%	*1*
Oppose plan	0.5%	*1*
Like cycle parking	0.5%	*1*
Total	99	*294*

Like option A

- It adheres to social distancing as it is not as congested as on one straight path.
- Anything that makes it more appealing is helpful. As we've spent more time in parks the last year, I am all up for an upgrade.
- Big improvement on the existing parks.
- Firstly, I use the playground daily with my daughter; it has a lovely community feel to it and is a hub for the neighbourhood. The proposed plans, both A & B, sound like they will really improve the existing playground and park. My only suggestion to what has been outlined above is that the raised grass verges with trees that back on to the new build flats inside the playground are levelled off: they are very steep and dangerous for young children. There's also a lot of rubbish and glass around that area. It feels like you are walking in peoples' gardens because it (the park) backs right onto the flats; perhaps a fence to block this area would be a good idea. I prefer plan B, mostly because I think the entrance to Gordon Road is more accessible and helps the flow of people coming and going. I also prefer the idea of a wooden playground but I think the existing layout of the playground is better than that proposed in plan A. I know this playground is used a lot with parents/carers of younger children. It's great for toddlers and preschool children - unlike Peckham Rye which caters for older children. It would be great if the new play equipment could be exclusive for younger children. Gives more feature to the setting.
- Great plan. Fully approve.
- I prefer the one on the bottom as it has many details of what's proposed.
- It looks far more pleasant and welcoming. It makes sense to keep Dr Harold Moody Park as a play and sports area and for Consort Park to be based more on nature and relaxation. Presently Consort Park is a tense mix of children playing, dogs running and anti-social behaviour. Connecting the parks is not essential but would be nice if space is left for cars to travel into those roads. Extra seating is always welcome. The current equipment is tired and new equipment will be used more.

- It would be great to see Consort Park improved and for new play equipment in Dr Harold Moody Park.
- Looks amazing.
- Looks much better, such an improvement. This will make a real difference for the local community
- Looks safer than now. Looks more attractive with the seating areas and flowers. At the moment all the grass is stampeded over by herds of dogs and looks neglected.
- Option A improves the practicality of the park as accessible and seating areas are improved whilst also improving the parks aesthetic.
- Overall, it looks like a big improvement on the current set-up. Making it a single park will make a big difference. I like the increased wildlife, plus more play/sports. Also, it's a really good design and will discourage irresponsible dog owners from using the park (I'm sure some dog owners may object to the design, in which case I would say please don't reinstate the gates – irresponsible dog ownership is such an issue at the moment; if there is any compromise, I would suggest gating off a small area of Consort Park for dogs).These parks have needed attention for a while, especially as Dr Harold Moody Park is named after a significant figure in British history and hardly anyone realises the significance of this.
- This is a great idea. As a mum who lives local, and have for most my life, I believe it would be a fantastic place once re-done.
- We like that there will be a better quality children's play area.
- Would be a good improvement to the whole park.
- Consort Park is a small area I go to when I need a quick fresh air. I like the idea of the new pathway (A); new benches and picnic tables are a yes from me. Thank you for the opportunity to express my thoughts.
- I like the idea of linking the two parks.
- Great idea to close the road.
- I like closing off the road to connect the parks. I always find Consort Park feels really inaccessible with the fence round it, so having one side of that open is nice (if there was a bigger opening in the fence at the far end that would be great too)!
- I like how the whole area becomes bigger and connected, and it will look better. It's important to me that the sports court stays.
- I like the design that links the two parks as this would make the park feel established. At the moment both parks are disjointed and feel anti-social/run down.
- I like the extension of the park and that it becomes one park and the addition of the meadow.
- I like the focus on nature in Consort Park and I really like that Sturdy Road will be closed to traffic linking up the two parks.
- I like the idea of linking the parks.
- I like the idea of the whole park being made bigger.
- I like the joining of the two parks.
- I love the idea of closing the end of Sturdy Road and linking the two parks. What a wonderful idea to create more green space.

- I prefer option A as the parks our truly joined together as Sturdy Road is closed at one end. Improvements to option A: the paths should be wider to be more accessible; perimeter fencing should be removed to make it more friendly.
- I really like that the parks are joined and Sturdy Road is closed to cars.
- I also think Consort Park will feel bigger with the hills removed and the back area, which is currently unused, improved.
- I really like the idea of closing the end of Sturdy Road.
- I really like the idea of linking both parks. And I'm glad you're not proposing cutting down any existing trees.
- Like the idea of closing Sturdy Road to traffic, it will have a positive effect and make the park bigger.
- It connects the two parks and closes the road.
- It looks much better than now, more space to relax and walk.
- It also opens up the park to make it look more appealing and user friendly. Linking the two parks is a great idea.
- It provides much more space for the park and is safer for kids.
- It's a wonderful use of the space and closing the end of Sturdy Road will make it feel so much safer
- Joining the parks a good idea.
- Joining the parks is a great idea. Suggest more LTN ideas as well in the area: close Brayards Road off at the railway bridge.
- Joining the parks will make both parks feel bigger and like a more substantial green space. There is always plenty of parking on Gordon Road at that end anyway so not concerned about loss of a few parking spaces. Love the idea of adding more nature and ecological space.
- Joining the two parks and closing Sturdy Road would make it much safer and greener around this part of Nunhead/Queens Road. Our children visit Dr Harold Moody Park nearly every day and we would appreciate a safer park, without having to cross Sturdy Road to get between the parks.
- Like the idea of combining the parks. Like the removal of older equipment. New playground of bugs sounds good.
- Linking the parks is a great idea.
- Looks like a really enhanced environment, and cutting out 'rat run' traffic would be a bonus.
- Looks more spacious.
- Love the fact both parks will be connected.
- Love the idea of joining the parks together, it will: create a safer and healthier environment for all and encourage wildlife and community spirit, also reducing traffic pollution and danger to the people using the parks.
- Love the idea of joining the parks together.
- It makes it safer and more child friendly. I don't mind the dogs but they've wrecked the park... so removing the fences will make it less attractive for people (who aren't local) to drive up and walk their dogs. Usually badly behaved dogs that they don't clear up after.
- Making a larger, safer park, keeping the well-used sports court, reducing traffic, and updating play equipment means it's something for everyone.

- Really like the option to link both parks, and the additional seating and table area, as well as improving the playground.
- The closure of Sturdy Road, the joining of parks is great.
- The park should be extended so that the two parks join up across Sturdy Road. It's nonsensical that they have a road going through them, presenting a danger to children using the parks.
- The seating and the wilding of Consort Park is really good. I also like the connection between the parks.
- This will make the northern part of the park useable for many local residents. The northern section is usually just used by people drinking and full of dog poo at the moment. This plan will create a beautiful extended nature walk for local residents.
- We like that it closes the road and creates a continuous space between the parks.

Keep gates/fence

- Overall, the principle of enhancing the parks is welcomed and many of the proposed changes look like a vast improvement on the current provision. However, the survey of park users is from over a year ago and may not be fully representative of the park's current users, which now has a strong contingent of dog walkers for Consort Park. Removing the fencing and gates from Consort Park would make this an unsafe space for dog walking and children playing, given the park's proximity to the road. Removing the fencing would make Consort Park un-usable by a large proportion of its current users. Given removal of other enclosed parks that are open to dogs in the area (most are not and are designated as child play areas), removing the fencing to Consort Park would make another space un-usable by dog walkers. Please consider maintaining (or upgrading if the concern is accessibility) the fencing and gates so that this park, which is currently well used, can continue to serve the community that values it. I don't like the gates coming off as I think this will be tricky to contain dogs and kids, which has always been one of the nice things about the park.
- I do like the parks being linked and the idea of road closure, however I do not want gates removed in either parks, especially the children's mini play area, as I feel this is very dangerous as young children can run fast and cars do at times drive too fast along Gordon Road; also you should consider the cost of removing the fences and gates (plus the cost to put them in, in the first place) they are sound, serve a safety purpose and these monies could be used for something else e.g. solar low level lighting.
- Gates are useful for both parents and dog walkers who visit Consort Park on a daily basis. Gates protect from the road making it safer for young kids as well as dogs. Consort Park has an established community of dog walkers who enjoy exercising their dogs knowing the park is safe as it is gated. With the rise of dog thefts in London, gated dog parks are incredibly important to reduce any opportunistic theft and also offer peace of mind that dogs won't run into the road. I would like to see gates kept on the park. This would also help keep any children safe from traffic on Gordon Road where cars often speed down the road very quickly.

- I like that the parks will be joined, however as a small dog owner I currently use Consort Park about five times a week to exercise my dog and like the security of the gates on all entrances. Perhaps the gates could stay?
- I think removing the gates is problematic in terms of safety for children and dogs playing. As more homes are going up all the time the roads will get busier. There are children's play areas at Nunhead Green, Dr Harold Moody Park and Peckham Rye. Since lockdown there are also more dog owners but no designated dog park. It would be great if this could be incorporated to encourage all members of the community. At the moment the dog owning community of Consort Park have been maintaining the park by removing rubbish, bottles, drug paraphernalia, found there. I think it is important for responsible dog owners to have an area where they can exercise their dogs off lead. some dogs have been a great comfort in these times. Ideally, this would be a separate area away from picnics and small children.
- It is important that the whole area is fenced-in, so animals and children are protected from the road and passing cars.
- It's a great place for a local dog community and removing the gates will mean we can relax with our dogs off the lead.
- Love most ideas but we need a fenced dog park otherwise dogs will be walked everywhere bothering people trying to picnic and scaring kids. There is enough space for everything inside Consort Park.
- Removing gates will prevent dogs from playing in the park; it also introduces the risk of kids running into the roads.
- Very keen on the overall idea but having some gated areas for dog walkers, who make up a proportion of this Council's constituents, would be welcome. Without this, dog owners are more likely to use smaller and less suitable greenspaces for dog walking.
- My concern would be linking the parks, which may increase irresponsible dog owners using Dr Harold Moody Park and cause a hazard to children.
- In Consort Park, I do not think it is a good idea to remove the gates. This could be dangerous for children running into the road. There are also a lot of new dog owners who use this park daily so it would be important to keep the fences to avoid any accidents with oncoming traffic.
- Consort Park is the only gated area that we can take our dogs for a little run around that is fenced and safe from the road, being disabled myself I like the gates on the park as when I'm in there in my wheelchair with my dog I feel safe as I can hear if someone opens a gate. I do think the hills need to be made flatter so people can't hide behind them.
- Fencing and gates in Consort are essential to promote a safe place for children and dogs. Consort Park is currently well used by responsible dog owners, who not only clean after their own dog, but arrange to meet each other regularly to do a communal clean of the area. We have a 'What's App' group, which means we can have a socially distanced meet-up in the early evening. This promotes safety. The group is very diverse and includes people with children.
- Finally, I would add that I don't agree with removing the fences at Consort Park, as dog walkers use that park a lot and dogs are able to run freely play with each other, which also helps with my mental health, as I have no pets and garden to enjoy animals or nature. Removing the gates and fences will put free running dogs at risk of getting injured.

- How ridiculous to remove the entrance gates! Young children need to have the freedom to play safely.
- I am visiting Consort Park once or twice a day to play with my dog. Removing the gates would be detrimental for us as we have no-where else to go to safely let our dog off leash. I would like this idea a lot if Consort Park remained gated.
- I like the additions of nature areas but I think removing the gates makes it less safe for children and those with dogs. I also think the number one way to improve anti-social behaviour in the park is the addition of lighting, which is not included in the plan.
- I think it is a great idea to link the parks, and definitely important to make them more accessible. Consort Park is one of very few local parks, other than children's play areas, which has fences and gates all the way around its perimeter. This makes it a vital place for dog walkers (and particularly puppy owners) to safely train their dogs without fear of traffic hazards. I know this is a controversial topic as supposedly dogs should be kept on a lead in this park anyway, but the fact is that responsible dog owners should teach their dogs to walk safely off the lead and there are currently not enough secure places to do this locally. I think that option A would be perfect if a gated area was still included and if wheelchair users were consulted to ensure the mechanism chosen was as accessible as possible, or if a large enough non-gated area was provided that both wheelchair users and dog walkers had space to make safe use of the park. It would also be helpful if dog waste bins were provided to dispose of dog waste more securely, since foxes frequent these parks and have a tendency to raid the bins.
- I use the park to walk my dog regularly, and removing the fencing makes this unsafe.
- It's better to have gates so dogs and children can run safely.
- Main concern is the removal of the gates from Consort Park. Since the original survey was undertaken in early 2020 there has been a change in the use of the park. It is still enjoyed by families with children but also, particularly early morning and evenings by responsible dog owners for the purpose of socialising and exercising local dogs. This is one of the few parks in the area that is enclosed and therefore suitable for dogs. If the gates are removed this will no longer be the case. It has been mentioned that the reason for removing the gates is to improve access for people with mobility disabilities, however I would encourage the design team to look into accessible gate options instead. If not for dogs, then for parents with children who would also be at risk of running out onto the road. My child plays in this park most evenings after school. It's really important to have this area gated for my child and her friends. They love running up and down the hills so prefer that these are maintained where possible as other play areas locally are very flat. This park is good for hill running, playing with dogs and has a great neighbourly spirit, which has been hugely important for physical and mental health and well-being throughout the pandemic. It's great to have a large, safe enclosed space so close to home which allows children to run. It's a good place for skateboarding and safe enclosed cycling away from smaller children.
- One of the many joys of Dr Harold Moody Park is that the area is safe and fenced off for children to play in. It is one of the few parks in the area that doesn't have lots of dog poo or more aggressive dogs running around in, a sharp contrast to the open plan Cossall Park that frequently has lots of dog poo and

rubbish around the play area. I would be much happier with this scheme if the existing fence remains in place around the entirety of the playground.

- Overall, I think the plan is really good, however, I am strongly opposed to the gates being removed. As it currently stands, the park is a great place for me to take my dog off its leash. Please consider the really friendly dog community that has formed around Consort Park over lockdown. Overall, the principle of enhancing the parks is welcomed and many of the proposed changes look like a vast improvement to the current provision. However, the survey of park users is from over a year ago and may not be fully representative of the park's current users, which now has a strong contingent of dog walkers for Consort Park. Removing the fencing and gates from Consort Park would make this an unsafe space for dog walking and children playing, given the park's proximity to the road. Removing the fencing would make Consort Park un-usable by a large proportion of its current users. Given removal of other enclosed parks that are open to dogs in the area (most are not and are designated as child play areas), removing the fencing to Consort Park would make another space un-usable by dog walkers. Please consider maintaining (or upgrading if the concern is accessibility) the fencing and gates so that this park, which is currently well-used, can continue to serve the community that values it. The only gated, secure dog-friendly park in the area. Please don't remove the gates!
- The park is used by many responsible dog owners and is a good place for dogs and their owners to safely socialise. The gates keep the dogs safe. There is already a park for children at Dr Harold Moody Park. If you visited first thing in the morning or early evening you would see multiple dog owners responsibly socialising their dogs

Resident parking concerns/turning area concern

- I would like to see more parking spaces.
- I like all of the additions in option A other than closing Sturdy Road. Perhaps putting a zebra crossing in between instead would be better?
- I like it apart from the turning area for cars. It will allow more fumes in close proximity to a playground.
- I like option A; however, I don't think Sturdy Road should be closed to traffic as it's a very quiet road anyway.
- I love the idea of joining the parks, but having gone to the meeting and hearing concerns from those living right by it about the turning place outside their house, I think it important to take their concerns seriously.
- I think this is brilliant in theory but residents of Sturdy Road park our cars on our road or on adjoining roads (Gordon, Ellery) because these roads are currently used as car parks by commuters as well as by people using the parks. We often have to park our car several streets away from our home. I would estimate that around 75% of the time, there is no parking available on our own road or the adjacent roads for us. These plans should not go ahead unless our street can be included in a residents' parking zone and I imagine the plans will be opposed vehemently by residents, unless the Council can accommodate this.
- Not keen on closing the end of Sturdy Road.
- The turning area for vehicles makes sense but I would be a little bit concerned about cars being so close to the paths by the playground.

Like fitness area

- I like the addition of adult gym etc. as there is a lot of additional space, which can be used but nice to keep enough quiet grassy areas too.
- It's a good idea for the gym and the playground to be reformed and maybe the hills in Dr Harold Moody Park can be fixed.
- Better usage of the park's spaces by providing me with an outdoor gym and playground equipment.
- Combining the parks is a great idea. Consort Park definitely deserves a greener uplift and the outdoor gym is a good idea, as it will likely be used by many of the folks that use the basketball court for exercise at the moment.
- Consort Park looks like it would just turn into overgrowth. That part of the park needs a bit of life in there, so maybe the football court could go in this part of the park? Good facilities
- I am very pro there being an additional table tennis table and think that they should face north/south as per the map (the existing table faces east/west and the ball often goes into the basketball court).
- I would prefer that the mounds are not removed as they add to the features of the park. Also, I'm not keen on the idea of joining the two parks - otherwise I like it I and love the idea of gym equipment.
- Inclusion of gym equipment provides something for adults in the area.
- Instead of table tennis tables and gym, maybe add a 2nd sports court as in the summer it is overcrowded with people who want to play football and basketball but cannot accommodate both. Floodlights for sports courts to ensure safety.
- Like the extra table tennis table.
- Lots of people use the basketball/football pitch as a gym area anyway. Providing equipment and space to do that properly would free that up and make it better.
- Metal play equipment is good as it will last, love the seating, bike parking and gym ideas too. Needs more equipment.
- Sports court can be a bit full on and is often busy. Any provision for older children as the play area has always been for the little ones.
- The multi-coloured surface is used extensively by kids practicing scooting and cycling and outdoor exercise - it would be nice to have something similar.
- The sports park is normally noisy, kids' park should be away from the sports for less sound and space to run around.
- We like the new table tennis table although worry about its location next to the gym.
- We welcome Masterplan A and particularly like the provision of two table tennis tables, the retention of the existing sports court and the improvements to the children's play area.

Like wildlife improvements

- We like that you're keeping the cherry trees. And we like the rain garden.
- I do like the green and natural wild of Consort Park area, the proposed path - make the trail wider around the border of the nature park so all can access each area. The park was often accessed locally by older people using wheelchairs, healthy mature trees should stay and if large trees are to be felled use them as seating and carve a bench - art in the park! Maybe good to plant some fruit trees /herb/sensory garden, mounds made safe. The rubble should be moved but many enjoy the heights and contours.

- Landscaping is more welcoming, better for nature.
- The hillocks should be made into an attractive feature and are really good additional exercise for kids to run up and down, play between and hide behind. I'm very much an advocate of rewilding and sow my own home-grown flower seeds in random public areas, i.e. the bases of trees, where there's bare earth without anything growing. But wildflower meadows need maintaining and management, like everything to do with land, and you have to monitor the growth to make sure it doesn't turn into a massive tangle of weeds. If part of the funds available are retained to use for ongoing maintenance, then that's fine.
- The Masterplan looks goods, can it include fruit trees and aromatherapy plants? Also, it would be useful to include a notice board and a water fountain for visitors to the parks.
- Will any improvements be made to the wooded area that backs onto the flats on the corner of Gordon Road/Ellery Street? It's great to have trees here, and the children love playing in the trees, but the area is very unloved and often has rubbish etc. Is there any way this can be made more pleasant/safe, whilst retaining the trees?
- Meadow sounds good.
- I like the meadow planting.
- I like the addition of the meadow.
- I like the fact the two parks are linked and there's a meadow area and more trees.
- Love the meadow area as Consort Park is a bit of a dead zone right now. Also the use of the playground at Dr Harold Moody Park. I use it all the time so would be good to upgrade.
- The meadows are a good idea.
- The grassy area is much more helpful, and beneficial to play and relaxation.

Remove grassy mounds

- I like the way the park joins the pathways, the meadow, and that it won't be fenced. I wouldn't mind if the mounds went completely. I put in the original application for 'Cleaner Greener' suggesting the first table tennis table, so it would be great to have a second one. The bugs and insects play area looks fun.
- I particularly like the removal of the mounds in Consort Park as it improves visibility and hopefully might increase the probability of people picking up dirt after their dogs.
- It looks a lot safer as you can see all areas of the park with the hills removed there will be more space for the children to play.
- Looks nice and I like the wildflowers and reduced grass shapes.
- The design reflects the requests made in the previous consultation. I like plans to reduce the size of the mounds and introduce more green space. As a parent, I like the suggestion of having a larger play area.

Anti-social dog behaviour

- Is it going to be a no dog area? - Because at the moment dog walkers get in with up to seven dogs, all off their leash running about. People don't pick up their dog mess, it's not a pleasant place to sit at the moment, more like a dog park.
- As a responsible dog owner, I enjoy using Consort Park for my dog especially because it is enclosed, I really like the idea of having the two parks connected

however would be slightly concerned that it would no longer be safe for my dog, is there a way of making sure it is in part enclosed? I also want to point out that other dog owners are not very responsible and there is a big problem of dog fouling, which is not picked up and thrown away. So, I worry about the meadows becoming the new dog fouling places. I suppose more and clearer signage against this would help.

- I have been coming to this park for many, many years. I live 5 minutes away. I think that option A would be absolutely awesome. I suffer from mental ill health and sit in this park. It would feel more fresh and welcoming. Along with the beautiful peace and calmness of the wildlife. As of late I have not been able to go into this park due to the out-of-control dogs and their owners. I have reported these incident's to the parks. It is not safe to cut through. It has been turned into a dog park. I have a dog. So, I'm not against animals. It is totally out of control. The dogs are not on leads. When I come with my dog and request for them to put their dogs on lead... it's dismissed and ignored!!

Don't fell trees

- I don't think beautiful big trees which have been there for a long time should be removed and replaced with small ones.
- I was disappointed to see trees were going to be removed because they were in bad condition. I personally don't see anything wrong with the trees, they do not look damaged or dying. I would appreciate it (if at least) not all the trees are cut down, especially the big one. I sit under the trees and connect with nature, feel as if I'm in my private space, and this helps with my mental health. I would prefer that there is a community garden included in the plan. I also think more plants and flowers should be planted to tackle the problem with pollution in the inner city and help wildlife and climate change which is declining. I would be wary of adding too many tables and chairs in the area as sadly this can cause anti-social behaviour after dark.

Install lighting

- Don't know. But put some street lights up regardless.
- There shouldn't be any benches along the new path at the back of Consort Park (near the old peoples' home/disused church) – it gets really dark on that side of the park and the bench currently is the site of much nefarious behaviour, making the park feel unsafe; let's not keep encouraging that.
- My only question is: will there be improved lighting as I use the park's footpath as a cut through in the early evenings? (In particular autumn/winter).
- As a woman, I also do feel as I'm not safe sometimes but there is no reason to support this reason. So, adding more light and other changes for safety I'm happy about.

Keep grassy mounds

- Also, without the bumps in the park, it will lose its identity and become just another walk through.

Prefer wooden play equipment

- I like the current playground as it's easier for little kids than say, Peckham Rye. The playhouse/climbing frame is loved by both my 4 year old and 12 month old -

not many playgrounds are like that. I go there because it's a bit quieter but has different play equipment to Nunhead Green. I hope the feel of the playground will be kept. It's hard to see what the play equipment will be on the plan but this one looks better for younger kids. Though doesn't seem to include a small house type climbing frame. As well as being good for physically active play that sort of equipment allows for imaginative play - setting up pretend shops, playing three billy goats gruff, playing castles etc. Those games will keep my kids moving for longer than just having a swing or slide.

- Currently this playground is a great and safe option for younger children, it would be great if the new play equipment would still be geared toward that age range. Definitely, a slide, swings and climbing frame to be included. A sandpit would be nice. Sensory planting like scented herbs would be lovely. A water feature/sprinkler for summer play would be amazing and really lacking in this area. A small fence around the playground is also useful for your escape artists.
- Don't like the metal play equipment. I can't really see the detail of the playground but I hope it is still grass covered and has trees as my kids really like that about the current playground. I would prefer wooden equipment.
- I like option A and the connection of the parks but actually think wooden playground equipment would suit the plan more than metal insects. Many features are positive but much prefer natural materials in the playground. My kid, and many others, prefer the growth around the side more than the largely metal equipment.
- Not keen on the metal play equipment.

Include more picnic benches/seating

- It would also be nice to see some more picnic benches installed. Covid is unlikely to go away any time soon so socialising outdoors will remain important. Having more picnic benches will enable the park to become a destination where people want to spend some time.
- More picnic areas are required and sitting areas.
- More picnic benches/areas (I don't see many of these), especially a picnic table or two in the children's play area.
- More seating would be welcome.
- I dislike that, this option has no additional picnic tables.

Include a dog exercise area

- It looks good, but many people currently use Consort Park as an enclosed dog space. There are almost no dedicated spaces for dogs in Southwark. It would be beneficial for dog-owners and non-dog owners for a dedicated space to be provided in any redevelopment.
- Currently Consort Park is an enclosed dog area, which is great for exercising younger dogs and also has its own community of dog owners. It would be good if there could still be some enclosed area for exercising dogs.

For skate park

- A scooter/skate park is such a good idea – so many children and young people already use the park for these activities and it would be excellent to have facilities for this: it wouldn't necessarily have to be huge; in the Lower Park at Telegraph Hill, for example, there is a small scooter/skate area built around the basketball

court, which is very popular. Possibly something else for older children – the football/basketball pitch is good, and a scooter/skate park would improve things, but perhaps an area where teenagers could sit?

- It's ok but there are some younger people who go to the park, requested a skate park area near the back area of Dr Harold Moody Park, where the grass area is.
- Because there are no skate parks.
- Not sure whether an outdoor gym is necessary – some people would use one, but I suspect this would be much less popular than a scooter/skate park; plus, a lot of outdoor gyms end up unloved quite quickly. There is already an outdoor gym nearby (near the Broyard's Road Estate and some gym equipment proposed in Consort Park). So, overall my vote would definitely be for a scooter/skate area rather than an outdoor gym. As a regular user of both parks, I can confirm that kids really value the soft core hard surfacing as a place to practice biking, roller skating and skateboarding in Consort Park.

Needs more wildlife improvements

- I feel as though it could be used better with features to look out for our wildlife. I live in the block on the corner, literally in the park in the bottom right of the diagram. I am concerned about sound levels so it would be great if there could be more trees planted surrounding the flats to separate the area from the public space.
- I don't believe this plan is sufficiently wildlife friendly. Can we have a small pond in a protected wildlife area with old logs for bugs and native insect beneficial plants that has some low fencing - otherwise in such a small space nature (e.g. flower meadows) is likely to get trampled. If possible don't remove mature trees - there is no such thing as a 'poor quality' mature native tree! They have just been attacked too often as the older kids have nothing to do.
- Option A is okay I like the thought of increasing the wildlife aspect and improving the play area for the children.
- It looks like it has more wildlife friendly planting (though still not enough!), is 'softer', far more varied than what is there now, and generally far more attractive than the existing (rather bleak) park.

Against skate park

- I like the new grassed areas in Consort Park. Not too sure about the skate park area.
- I do believe that the introduction of a skate park will attract a lot of people from outside of the Consort Road, Gordon Road, Sturdy Road and Ellery Street community area.
- I don't want the skating park to be made, it will encourage anti-social behaviour and noise.

Play equipment needs to be suitable for disabled children

- Dr Harold Moody Park - accessible for all play equipment is required - different abilities.
- If you are considering improving the play area, can you make some of the play equipment accessible for disabled children, at least put in a swing with a safety bar across it for an older child. My grandson would love to use a swing but cannot, none of the local parks are accessible for disabled children.

Include more cycle parking

- There should more cycle parking.

Neutral about fitness area

- It looks all very nice at the moment with the basketball pitch over there, some mornings I'm woken up at 6 o'clock with someone playing basketball so I don't know whether it would be better with a gym, I know I live in Consort Road.

No meadow, orchard instead

- A wildflower meadow sounds nice but won't last. It will also discourage kids from playing. How about an orchard, or one or two fruit trees in a grassy area instead?

Against fitness area

- My living room faces directly on to the proposed new outdoor gym. I now work from home most of the time and I would be very unhappy with increased noise, which could accompany an outdoor gym. It's not a big enough park to protect residents from noise. The basketball court is already noisy enough.

Oppose the idea

- The wooden seating will be destroyed most probably by being set alight or disintegrate because of the weather - much like the ones in Consort Park! There are not enough trees. More are required especially next to the seating. The seating will just become covered in birds' muck. The trees and bushes in the play area in Dr Harold Moody Park have been trimmed back for the new flats built on the corner. They need to be kept trimmed back to stop them becoming a toilet or a place for weapons to be hidden. We have had to call the police a number of times. The meadow area in Consort Park is not suitable. It will be trashed by dogs and children.

Traffic calming

- Some cars/motorcycles speed down Gordon Road.
- I also support closing off the end of Sturdy Road as long as traffic will not be funnelled elsewhere.
- It looks like an amazing park. I think you need to take the opportunity to slow down traffic as cars/vans zoom down Gordon Road at unsafe speeds.

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

Option B comments	Percentage	Times mentioned
Prefer option A	27%	41
Against skate park	12%	18
For skate park	8%	12
Keep gates/fence	6.5%	10
Like option B	4.5%	7
Prefer wooden play equipment	4%	6
Like fitness area	4%	6
Like wildlife improvements	3%	5
Keep grassy mounds	2%	4
Prefer metal play equipment/wooden play may not be suitable for younger children	2%	4
Ensure play is suitable for younger children	2%	4
Benches/include more picnic tables	1.5%	3
Concern about loss of parking	1.5%	3
Prefer parks separate/don't join parks	1.5%	3
Love both options equally	1.5%	3
Include lighting	1.5%	3
Like cycle racks	1.5%	3
Prefer shorter path in option A	1.5%	3
Keep Sturdy Road open for two-way traffic	1.5%	2
Remove grassy mounds	1.5%	2
Include a dog exercise area	1.5%	2
Neutral about skate park	1.5%	2
Anti-social dog behaviour	1.5%	2
Move table tennis away from skate park	0.5%	1
One-way road will create faster traffic	0.5%	1
Oppose plan	0.5%	1
Prefer longer path in option B	0.5%	1
Remove gates/fence	0.5%	1
Play equipment needs to be suitable for disabled children	0.5%	1
Face table tennis east/west	0.5%	1
Include toilet facilities	0.5%	1
Include more bins	0.5%	1
Prefer larger play area	0.5%	1
Keep road as it is or remove	0.5%	1
No benches on new Consort Park path	0.5%	1
Don't reduce grassy mounds too much	0.5%	1
Like both play options	0.5%	1

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

Total	100%	*152*

Prefer option A

- I like that it connects.
- Better to make Sturdy Road a dead end and link the two parks without the need to watch for traffic.
- Good but option A is better with the parks joined.
- I think if you're joining the parks then better to fully close one end of the road rather than do a one-way option.
- I would prefer Sturdy Road to be closed and the parks to be properly joined. This would make it safer for children and create a larger, greener area to play and walk.
- Lovely options for play and recreations. Would be better if the road was closed off as in option A.
- Prefer full closure of Sturdy Road for safer connection of two parks into one.
- Prefer the joining of the parks as in option A.
- The two key differences for me as compared with A are firstly, B has the scooter park rather than the outdoor gym, which I like (see my comments regarding Masterplan A as to why there is greater need for a scooter/skate park in the area); but secondly, option A closes off the end of Sturdy Road and incorporates this into the park – I much prefer that to the design in B; wholly closing off the road and integrating it would make a much more pleasant space overall, and would encourage use of the park as a single whole – otherwise, I suspect Consort Road end will continue to be primarily a walk through; plus, having the road one-way will still be more dangerous, especially knowing how quickly cars race around the streets; there are plenty of alternative routes for cars so closing off the road shouldn't cause too much inconvenience to car drivers.
- A lot of my comments regarding Masterplan A are the same here, e.g. liking the removal of gates from a dog perspective, more picnic benches especially in the children's play area, improvements to the wooded area, that there should not be benches along the new path at the back of Consort Park – see my previous comments.
- Regarding play equipment, it's difficult to tell from the plans shown what the new equipment will be like and whether metal equipment or wood equipment will be better. What I would say, is that it would be good to make sure that across the park as a whole there is a good range of things for children and young people of all ages. At the moment, younger children really like the play area and older children tend to use the sports pitch (although they could do with some more); if the play equipment is changed, it would be good to make sure there is still suitable play equipment for younger children.
- Regarding the scooter/skate park, I'm a big fan of this. I note, though, that it will be important to think about fencing etc. – it won't be ideal if balls from the

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

football/basketball pitch and/or ping pong tables trip up those in the skate park.

- I would prefer closing Study Road rather than making it one way - Ellery Street is parallel so can be used by motor traffic.
- I don't think making Sturdy Road one-way is a good idea - the cars are likely to go faster than if it is two-way or blocked at one end.
- I'm not so keen on the one-way Sturdy Road. Traffic travels so fast down this road and it can be hard to cross with small children. I think it is better in plan A.
- I love the meadow flowers and getting rid of the grass bumps will certainly be a plus, however I worry with turning Sturdy Road into a one-way street this would cause cars to speed even further and endanger people using the parks.
- Connection between parks but still leaving a route through is great, skate area is. awesome and I'd use it, playground equipment looks imaginative.
- It's alright. I don't like the one-way street treatment, which feels unnecessary, and doesn't connect the parks as much as could be possible.
- Making Sturdy Road a one-way street is not enough.
- Prefer closed road.
- I prefer option A as I think the park should extend across the road. Unless this happens, the northern part of the park will not be used in the same way as it will be isolated and will still feel like a no man's land.
- I prefer the path layout of Consort Park in plan A but both are a big improvement.
- I think the loss of the two parks joining will be a shame so I like option A.
- I think the parks would be much better without a road going through it.
- Option B is ok, but I prefer option A. I'd be happy with B but again I'd like a secured area where I can exercise my small dog in a secure, gated area. Perhaps a dog run or gated area could be incorporated?
- Prefer option A. I think the one-way system would make the link between the parks unsafe.
- Prefer if Sturdy Road was closed off at junction with Gordon Road.
- Because there would be a road still there – even though it's one way.
- I don't like the fact that the road goes through the park here. It's unnecessary as Ellery Road provides access between Gordon Road and Consort Road.
- I massively prefer option A. Even so a one-way street will continue the sense of separation between the two parks.
- Much better if they are joined like in option A.
- It does not encourage active travel.

Against skate park

- I worry that the skate area would be quite noisy for local residents. There are houses very close.

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

- This option has a one-way traffic system along Sturdy Road. However, there is not sufficient space for a new skate-friendly area for wheeled sports (skate, bikes etc.) next to the sports court.
- Would prefer more green space over skate park.
- I do not want a skate park because I am concerned about the noise level. There are too many activities in a confined space. I live opposite the park and I can hear it when they are playing basketball.
- A skate park directly outside my living and working space would be a nightmare. Please do not do this.
- There is already a skate park at Peckham Rye Park and I do not think it would be as popular as a second sports court.
- As a resident of the flats backing onto Dr Harold Moody Park, I hear the basketball court all day every day. A skate friendly area will create even more noise and another hangout space at night. It will also put a different audience in direct competition with the users of the children's park. Currently people using the basketball court blast child unfriendly music and this will be perpetuated with the addition of a skate friendly area.
- Because I think it's become very noisy because some children will be over there on skateboard late at night.
- Don't like skate park as this will cause excessive noise to residents.
- I object to the new skate park aspect of this proposal, also wheeled sports (skate, bikes etc.) next to the sports court.
- I think a skate park is a terrible idea and will cause social issues. Often these are used by adults and not children. They are noisy and dangerous. I think it will damage the park not enhance it.
- I would not want a skate park in a residential area. Couldn't this be somewhere nearer to Peckham Rye? I think Dr Harold Moody Park is too small for such a thing. I think this will bring in anti-social behaviour after dark. There are street lights which mean this is likely to happen.
- No-one in this area plays on a skateboard, building this can bring the wrong people to a very peaceful area.
- No-one seen in this area using skateboards or skates, so no reason for area. Better have higher fences around original ball area to cut down on noise and all the balls hitting properties in both residential streets.
- Skate park is inviting excess noise! The residents on Sturdy Road will have the park, basketball court and a skate park right on the doorstep! This is not being taken into consideration at all.
- Skate park will be too noisy close to residential area (even basketballs which are much quieter cause some disturbance). The consultation says the skate park will be for bikes too (and that was where the suggestion came from?) but the area wouldn't be big enough for this to be used much by BMX's anyway.
- The skate park area will create way more noise and I live on the block in the park. Would not be happy with this option.

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

- This park is not big enough for a skating area, this will be very inconvenient for locals, better to put the skate park in Consort Park that is bigger.

For skate park

- I'm drawn to the inclusion of a skate ramp as this seems to be a big part of culture in SE London.
- I do like the skate provision in this one. Can you not join the parks across Sturdy Road and keep the skate park?
- I like the idea of the skate park. Our children both learnt to ride their bikes in Consort Park.
- I like the skate/bike park.
- Love the skate park idea.
- Option B will cater to a larger range of people due to the new skate area, whilst also improving accessibility, which will also allow a greater number of people to enjoy the space.
- Skate area is nice.
- I like this because there is a skate area, for skateboarders, the outdoor gym area and sport court.
- The bike/skate area is good but would be nice if more gym equipment could also be included.

Keep gates/fence

- I am happy with this provided the fencing remains in place around Dr Harold Moody Park, for the reasons given in my previous comments.
- I like the content of this design - skate park, reduced hills, cycle parking, one-way road - but my main concern which is unclear from these designs is retaining a fenced perimeter for the majority of Consort Park. Locals with dogs and puppies use Consort Park several times every day as a space to allow their dogs to socialise and play safely, as the area is fenced/gated all around - so the dogs can explore and play safely without bothering people or getting hit by cars. Please don't take it away from us by removing the security of a fenced perimeter for our pets.
- I like the idea of removing the gates at the front, as this will discourage the dog walkers who have turned it into a dog park over lockdown, however I am concerned that if there is no barrier at all little ones will run into the road. Not keen on the skate area would prefer more gym equipment. Kids can cycle through the park don't like the idea of removing the mounds.
- I like this one more, but one-way traffic and no gates excludes dog walkers. I don't see any consideration for any lighting to make the park safer in the darker months, please revise this as people need to exercise their dogs at night and very few parks are open after 4pm in the area and families walk home from school when it is dark like a greener route that is safe.

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

- I think gates of Consort Park should not be removed, they provide protection from the road for both kids and dogs for the peace of mind of both parents and dog walkers who already frequent this park on a daily basis.
- Keeping Consort Park closed for dogs.
- Need gates so dogs and children can play safely.
- One-way traffic idea on Sturdy Road. It's a good idea but removing the fences could cause issues with children running into the road also dogs running at children and children running towards dogs.

Like option B

- Looks amazing - prefer this option to option A.
- Much more aesthetically pleasing.
- It is better than option A for the simple reason it makes, although a bit feeble, an attempt to keep the two parks separate to protect their different functions and will not turn Sturdy Road into a play street. Consort Park should be a quiet haven for local people who don't want all the frenetic activity that takes place around Dr Harold Moody Park, and which attracts people from quite a wide area. Consort Park needs to be separate and protected for those with alternative but equally valid interests in actually doing nothing but sit quietly with their kids, reading a book or simply relaxing. If the two parks are joined that will not be possible as the more active will predominate. Option B goes some way towards doing that. Consort Park already attracts people from a wide area and any improvement to make it more enjoyable for them without becoming too overcrowded with people and equipment would be welcome.
- One-way traffic is better than closing the road completely, Consort Park still has no life in there other than flowers etc. Not sure about the gym equipment so close to the busy road as traffic/buses have now been diverted that way.

Prefer wooden play equipment

- I think the wooden play area for the kids is better than in option A.
- I like the wood playground better than metal. I got really excited about the parks combining, so this is less interesting, but better than nothing.
- I like having wood play equipment but would like the play area to be larger.
- I like the wooden play area.
- The best part of this option is that the playground would be made of wood equipment, which is much preferred to metal. The linking of the two parks sounds better in plan A. Both plans include more seating areas and making Consort Park safer, which is great.
- Like the wooden play area and skate zone.

Like fitness area

- For me it would be good to have the gym stuff.
- I prefer option A due to more gym equipment.

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

- It needs more equipment.

Like wildlife improvements

- Good that more trees will be planted but a longer path means more disruption for nature, and I'd rather Sturdy Road was completely closed.
- I do like it and I like the mounds which would give people a feeling of seclusion. I don't like the more 'unbroken' long design as much as the way the space has been broken up in option A. In both options I would still like to see more fruit trees, for instance, larger and more ambitious wildlife friendly planting (not just wildflowers) though those are great, but there is a vast range of complimentary wildlife friendly things to do. Adding more trees and in the cycle park I like the planting that can be done.

Prefer metal play equipment/wooden play may not be suitable for younger children

- I prefer metal play area.
- I like option B, however I think the play equipment in Dr Harold Moody Park should be made out of metal.
- The play area at the moment is for younger children, with the wooden play equipment will this be with a view for older children to use the park?
- Timber play is not great. Not really a good location for kids to play and also, timber play can get difficult for certain aged kids

Benches

- The seating in Consort Park is much nicer in option B than it is in option A.
- Without the pathway, this design would need more benches.

Concern about loss of parking

- The plans are great - but NOT without extending the residents' parking zone to Sturdy Road.
- This option seems to retain more of the current parking for residents, but I'm still concerned that parking will become increasingly difficult without permits.
- I also object to any proposal involving loss of existing parking spaces.

Prefer parks separate/don't join parks

- I do not like the idea of linking the parks together they need to remain separate. Individuals then have the option of using the quieter space of Consort Park. Also, we lose more green space I actually like the mounds and seeing the flowers in spring. The play area does need to be refurbished with the addition of the tennis table and gym equipment that's enough for the area. If the parks remain separate individuals have the option of using Consort Road as a quiet space and the children can be free to cycle.
- Parks should not to be joined.

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

Love both options equally

- I love both options! Both such an improvement.

Include lighting

- Some lighting at the back of the park would light up the trees in an attractive way; it would not allow dark corners where people can congregate out of sight, and would avoid disruptive light at night affecting local residents.
- Improve the lighting

Like cycle racks

- Bicycle racks help as they can't put their bicycles there

Prefer shorter path in option A

- I don't think this is a good idea, the pathway will take up too much green space.

Keep Sturdy Road open for two-way traffic

- I think Sturdy Road should remain a two-way street as it's a very quiet road and barely has any traffic.
- I like the addition of the skate area but it's a shame there would still be a road between the two.

Include a dog exercise area

- It looks good but many people currently use Consort Park as an enclosed dog space. There are almost no dedicated spaces for dogs in Southwark. It would be beneficial for dog owners and non-dog owners for a dedicated space to be provided in any redevelopment.

Neutral about skate park

- I like the inclusion of the skate park but worry that it would increase anti-social behaviour with the basketball court too (loud music is often played at night here).
- I'm not sure how much space the skate park thing will take up.

Anti-social dog behaviour

- My concerns about dog fouling remain but overall, I think this is a good option so long as the mounds aren't too small as they provide good diversity to landscape!

Move table tennis away from skate park

- I am not sure that the skate park should be so close to the table tennis. If you decide to put the skate park there; then the table tennis tables should

Q2. Overall, what do you think about Option B?

face east/west so that table tennis balls don't end up in the skate park, as this could cause an accident. There are no additional picnic tables in Dr Harold Moody Park, which I dislike.

One-way road will create faster traffic

- I worry that the one-way Sturdy Road would cause people to drive even more quickly through there.

Oppose plan

- All the reasons I don't like option A. Great to bring in some improvements, get rid of unused equipment, but I really like the park and its hills and bumps that are gated in, keeping children and dogs safe, supporting community cohesion. There are lots of parks and green spaces that do lots of things. Consort Park can be cleaned up, but some features make it unique in the area and we need to keep those.

Prefer longer path in option B

- Prefer the pathway there as it's easier to cut through.

Play equipment needs to be suitable for disabled children

- I have no objection to improving the play equipment for the children as in option A. I would like when designing the park that consideration be given to access for disabled children to use the play equipment.

Include toilet facilities

- I am concerned that more picnic tables mean more rubbish and litter and if this plan is to go ahead there must be consideration given to this. Also, with more users it is likely toilet facilities will be needed. If the plan is to have families sitting at a picnic table while their children play, skate and cycle, I am of the view that a toilet facility will be needed. Is the Council going to pay for the upkeep of this?

Keep road as it is or remove

- No point making Sturdy Road one way. Either keep as it is or close it off at the end to join the park. One-way only serves no purpose and would only keep the parks split. Would like to see gates retained on Consort Park for the dog walking community.

Like both play options

- I like both options. I'm drawn to the inclusion of wooden toys (if still suitable for younger children) and the swings and slide and climbing frame for younger kids.

Q5. Which option do you prefer: Sturdy Road made one-way, or joining the parks together?

Sturdy Road option comments	Percentage	Times mentioned
Like option A where Sturdy Road is closed	32.5%	*60*
Option A is safer	20%	*37*
Against loss of parking	15%	*28*
Neither option	9%	*17*
Like option B where Sturdy Road is made one way	8%	*15*
Keep the gates/fences	7%	*13*
For loss of parking	3%	*5*
Include rain gardens	1.5%	*3*
Option B is safer	1%	*2*
Like both options equally	1%	*2*
Include designated area for dogs	0.5%	*1*
Keep grassy mounds	0.5%	*1*
Total	**100%**	***184***

Like option A where road is closed

- More grassy space is great for air quality.
- Generally, use of the full space would feel more effortless and the park would feel bigger too. It increases green spaces and doesn't prioritise motorists.
- It would enhance both spaces enormously to have them joined, creating a destination in the area that lacks much generous green space. Additional housing in the area should lead to additional amenities, including green space.
- By linking the two parks the green space will be enjoyed more people and allow children to have the green space they so desperately need living in a city.
- As I stated earlier, I am greatly in favour of closing the road and joining the parks. There will remain plenty of parking available for the 10 residential properties. I know we will walk through and enjoy the park far more than we currently do.
- Better as there's more space.
- By not joining the parks, you'll find that a lot of space is wasted and people will continue to not use Consort Park - it feels cut off.
- Creates more green spaces and looks aesthetically pleasing. Would be good to have an area to go that is close to home.
- Cuts out rat running, safer environment for walking and cycling, doubles the size of the parks, exciting new space!
- Easier access.

- Green spaces is being increased which is always a positive.
- I feel like if you're removing 10 parking spaces you may as well remove a few more and properly join the parks.
- I like option A because there is more green space which is better for the environment, however I am slightly worried that joining the parks means there is no designated place for people to exercise their dogs. I am worried about dogs being off the leash throughout the park.
- I like that it is pedestrianised and one park.
- I like the two parks being joined.
- I live locally but am not a car user. I think that the junction is tricky to cross and would much prefer a larger, connected park. I understand that there are a lot of parking spaces lost, which may make things harder for others.
- I much prefer opening the parks up and joining them together more concretely by closing off the road in option A. However, I quite like the dense meadow-like beds of option B and wouldn't mind seeing a bit of them in the final solution regardless of which option is chosen.
- I really welcome any extra green space and I think it would make it a lot more usable space. As long as the Consort Road bit is less of a dog toilet!
- I think green space should be maximised.
- Improved green space.
- Increased green space.
- It feels like a walkway in a nature reserve.
- It increases green space.
- It looks nice and just creates more green space.
- It's nice and bigger.
- Joining two parks is one single thing that will greatly enhance the quality of local green space
- Joining the parks together is a fantastic idea.
- Joining the parks together is a wonderful idea. Better for local area and safer park for all.
- Larger area and less traffic better.
- Makes both areas more usable as the road is not restricting play. Older children can go and tree climb and parents can see them from the play equipment area.
- More green space.
- More useable park area.
- More space and less car traffic. It will be an addition to the community. Very well thought through.
- More space for us to move around.
- Option A represents a rare opportunity to create more green space in central London, which is an opportunity I really hope the Council takes. I would hope it would really contribute to a more peaceful environment for the surrounding area and in the park itself.
- Reclaims some green space, allows the parks to flow together in a much more natural way.

- See previous comments (repeated here). Wholly closing off the road and integrating it would make a much more pleasant space overall, and would encourage use of the park as a single whole – otherwise, I suspect the Consort Road end will continue to be primarily a walk through; plus having the road one-way will still be more dangerous, especially knowing how quickly cars race around the streets; there are plenty of alternative routes for cars so closing off the road shouldn't cause too much inconvenience to car drivers.
- Strongly support all moves to remove parking and discourage driving in the Borough.
- Strongly support option A, more greenery is needed in the area.
- Such a good use of space. This plan looks amazing and will be used by young and old people looking for some peace in the Consort Park or some fun in the Dr Harold Moody Park section. I like the idea of the parks joined together.
- The through road offers little benefit with other options nearby and helps create a nicer overall experience.
- This is so needed and overdue.
- This makes the whole park space bigger.
- This way both parks will get more use. At the moment use is very imbalanced.
- This would be amazing.
- This would make for a more pleasant, safer environment, especially for families with children.
- Value the green space for parks users who enjoy leisure in the parks. Joining the two parks together is a great idea.
- We need to prioritise green spaces over cars. But don't lose the 'rain garden' beds they look great.
- Would be great if they were joined together, and much safer.
- Would feel more like a proper park with the two parks combined rather than just a tiny bit of greenspace that they are at the moment.
- I like the planting better in option B though, and also the way there is a clear path which encourage.

Option A is safer

- It also looks like option A would be safer for younger children going between the parks who might not expect cars to pass through.
- It's safer for children.
- It's impossible to feel safe and relaxed when cars/vans are coming through a park area. Option B would effectively not really be joining the spaces up to the detriment of both parks.
- Most people around here don't have a car so it's better that we can all benefit from more green space and a safer park than a small number of people benefitting from having a parking space. Closing the road would make it so much safer for children to play in the parks. If there is still a risk, cars can come through then it'll be a worry all the time for parents.
- Much safer to have the parks as one rather than kids having to brave the road to get between the parks.
- As per previous response this will unify the park and make it safer; a one-way system would be dangerous. We live nearby and think losing some parking spaces

is ok as lots are used to dump or store badly damaged cars by the garage under the arches; most of these cars are not roadworthy and the Council's enforcement team should prioritise this area.

- I think it would be safer to join the parks together as I've seen so many near misses between cars and pedestrians crossing Sturdy Road.
- I think it's important to prioritise people not cars. It will make the parks and surrounding area feel calmer and safer.
- I think its safer for kids and the elderly.
- Increasing the space would be amazing and would be much safer for small children.
- It makes sense to do this to create one larger park. Traffic already speeds along all the roads in Nunhead, so to have a larger park, where children can play safely and dogs can be exercised without the risk of being run over, would be welcomed.
- It will be safer for kids.
- It would be safer for kids to have full use of the park.
- It would feel more open and safer. I have always thought these two parks should merge into one big open space. I absolutely love it. For the children and families to feel safe and to explore in the fresh air and oxygen provided.
- Linking two parks is safer.
- Option A is much safer, much cleaner air, better for healthy active travel.
- Option A preferred for safety reasons - no traffic at park end would mean less risk to children running between two spaces. However, preference would be for fence and gate at far end of Consort Park for purpose of containing exercising dogs in park.
- Safer for all.
- Safer for children to use.
- Safer for children.
- Safer as cars use Sturdy Road from Consort Park to Gordon Road. Option B would not cut traffic.
- Safest option and access around for vehicles is easy. Also, no traffic there, so no congestion.
- Safety - as mentioned.
- Strongly in favour of option A. I believe it creates a much safer, people-first environment. It will create a feeling of a single park, whereas option B still cuts the park in half. As a parent of a young child, the road remaining in the park is a significant safety concern.
- This city, this area, is utterly dominated by cars. I'm on edge with my child anywhere outside the gated playground.
- This is the better option it opens it up for more families to engage and use the park, also children and families can feel safer and more space to play.
- This would be a much safer option. We have young children and currently there are a lot of parked cars on Sturdy Road and this makes crossing between the two parks a bit hazardous. Joining the two parks would mean families would feel safer and other park users would also have greater space to walk or play.
- We think it's better and safer to remove traffic. We also think you should have a picture of a car in your consultation for option B to better illustrate the reality.
- Decreases traffic flow.
- More green space, reduce flow of traffic.
- This option will create a wonderful community. Cutting down on traffic pollution and endangerment of children playing in the parks.
- No cars, no bikes! Should be pedestrian only, so it is safe for all kids.

- I would prefer it to be merged.
- Would be a safe option as there are no cars crossing and speeding, also pollution levels will decrease.
- I don't mind the road being closed off.

Against loss of parking

- What is the purpose of removing the parking spaces and then creating an area in Dr Harold Moody Park for the cars to turn around? Surely this is creating more emissions.
- I prefer the layout of A because it looks more enjoyable and aesthetically pleasing but I imagine residents wouldn't want to lose too many parking spaces.
- Really like the idea of joining the parks. It is my preferred option. But I think this needs to be consulted on and decided by the Sturdy Road residents, as I'd hate it if we gained a bit of park at their expense.
- No good for residents as there is already a parking problem and with removal of places would only make it worse.
- I don't believe joining two parks will make it better. Removing car spaces is not in my view an option and it will disturb other areas.
- Will cause a lot of frustration to residents with cars who live in Sturdy Road we have cars and vans parked for weeks where are we supposed to park?
- No provision made for loss of parking. Parking is atrocious on Gordon Road, Sturdy Road and Ellery Street due to non-residents parking here and taking the train into London.
- I am against CPZ most residents were against CPZ in Gordon Road to start with. I only know of one neighbour who has since moved who was in favour it has pushed traffic further up the road and as a resident who lives opposite the park provides no free parking for any visitors.
- Parking is already an issue in the area. Also, there are no electric car charging points anywhere nearby. If the council could purchase some of the disused railway siding spaces and create resident parking there, that'd be popular.
- We already struggle so much with parking spaces and can't afford to lose so many!
- Don't think it will make much of a difference. A turning circle could potentially be dangerous. Also, there are far too many confusing one-way systems in Peckham already. Why put local residents out any more than you have to by taking away parking? It will probably cause a knock-on effect of too fewer parking spaces locally for people with cars. Not everyone wants to bike to work, for some a car is part of their livelihood.
- While linking the parks is a lovely idea (I do love it), parking is already an issue on Gordon Road and surrounding streets (especially with new flats etc.). Without the introduction of something like residents' parking, removing 10-16 spaces will exacerbate the issue greatly.
- There is no way these plans should go ahead without ensuring residents can park their cars on the road, with permits. Currently it is very difficult to do so. Both of these plans would make it impossible. I find it difficult to believe there is serious consideration being given to these plans when it is so difficult for us to park as it is. And I say this as a parent of two children who use these parks every day. We would LOVE to support these plans - but we will challenge them, as will many of our neighbours, unless parking on our road (as well as Gordon Road and Ellery Street) is for residents only.

- Parking is a constant problem and the building and creation of flats on the neighbouring streets has magnified the parking issue.
- We need more parking space, not less. Removing car parking space will cause more grievances to the neighbourhood.
- We do not want to lose our parking spaces.
- It is already difficult to find a parking space around the park and removing 10 or 16 parking spaces would make it significantly harder to park for residents.
- Is there any research to show that there is an increase in child safety by leaving Sturdy Road as it is? Why does the road need to be changed and parking spaces reduced?
- I am happy for both parks to be improved but they need to be refurbished separately. Parking is too much of an issue in the area for either option to be practical. Putting in the pay and display outside Consort Park caused an impact and now a further reduction in parking spaces.
- I am not sure how the loss of parking space would impact on the neighbours, but joining the two parks would be beneficial overall.
- That is a lot of parking spaces to lose though.
- Controlled parking must be installed for residents.
- There would be a lot more car spaces if the mechanics under railway bridge didn't leave their cars all around these streets. I would also just want to be clear that it was not pushing traffic elsewhere.
- Any increase of green land is positive however I do not agree that 16 parking spaces would be lost more like six and no to a CPZ in fact the zone requires to be removed or dramatically reduced (12-2pm restriction) from Gordon Road in front of Consort Park.
- I don’t really see the point in option B. Would be good to be able to guarantee parking for residents where possible though.
- I have expressed a view, but although a Southwark resident and occasional walker in the area, I am not that local and I think residents who will be directly affected, should be able to decide in view of the loss of parking space vs. safer playing area difficulties.
- I like A the most providing CPZ is introduced.

Like option B where Sturdy Road is made one way

- Safer for children to enter and exit the park.
- This combines access with safety and security of the residents and provides access for both cars and bicycles who may be seeking to access Brayards Road from North of the borough.
- I like option B because it's one way and skates and cyclers can still go through with it being too difficult, but I'm not opposed to the idea of both parks being joined together.
- I live on the other side of Gordon Road so this is a route I use given that Brayards Road is one way in the other direction. Having the traffic calmed would still make the park feel like one area, especially with the Dr Harold Moody Park being brought visually closer to the street.
- More space to walk on. Street lights too.
- I prefer the one-way system.
- Less disturbance to local residents. One way is better than no way!
- I prefer the layout and design.

- It's better for them to be separate I think, I usually see dog owners in Consort Park.
- Keeping the parks separate defines the two areas for different uses. One for children and one for dog walkers.
- I would like to keep the two parks separate with Dr Harold Moody Park mainly for children and activities for older people, and Consort Park for quieter relaxation with maybe a small dog area. Linking the two will attract more people who will overflow from Dr Harold Park, which should be a quiet area in Consort Park for people with very young children, not yet ready for playing on equipment, and older people who just want some peace and quiet away from all the activity and noise in Dr Harold Moody Park.
- There will also be the problem of noise for the people living at that end of Sturdy Road as linking the parks will attract more people who will obviously roam from one to the other not keep to the walkways and paths and spill out onto the proposed closed road , which will provide a very convenient race track for bicycles. It will blur the distinction between the welcome play and sport areas for the mainly young in Dr Harold Moody Park and the hopefully quieter area of Consort Park.
- There is already a problem of noise at the back of the houses from sound systems being played by people using the open space and benches conveniently hidden away at the back of the park close to the houses. To have noise at the front as well from people congregating in what will become an overflow play street will make it very uncomfortable for the very residents the parks are supposed to be for.
- Although admittedly the residents of Sturdy Road form a very small percentage of those using the parks, who are mainly from outside the park square, and can go home at any point in the day to the quieter confines of their own homes. The residents surrounding the park cannot.
- Dr Harold Moody Park is a great asset to the area and Consort Park can also be if it is kept separate and can perform a different function as I have mentioned. It can only do that if it is kept separate from Dr Harold Moody Park by keeping the natural barrier of the road open.
- There is a lot of green space locally. I prefer to keep both parks separate so that parts of Dr Harold Moody Park are accessible to all. I prefer the gates for Consort Road and the games courts and playgrounds which feel safer when gated.
- The two parks being separated is not a massive issue. The rain garden and flower bed could be perhaps be a feature on the Consort Park part of the park. We do agree that Sturdy Road could become a one-way road to improve safety.
- Very inconvenient.

Keep gates/fence

- The gates can stay up for Consort Park.
- I like the idea of joining the two parks, but there needs to be a boundary to stop kids and dogs from running into the road. If the parks were to be joined, it would be better to remove the road entirely to avoid accidents. There should be a secure gate boundary encompassing the entirety of the two parks to ensure no child/dog can escape.
- Consort Park has become a crucial space for many dog owning locals, to let our dogs explore and enjoy the park off lead without being the risk of escaping, running in to a road, or annoying other park users. There is no other dog friendly enclosed space in Peckham, and it is an invaluable asset for people with dogs - especially younger puppies, anxious dogs, small dogs, and for people wanting to socialise and

exercise them safely, especially after a year with a huge boom in dog ownership and not being able to meet other people and dogs in the same way. There are around 35 regular different dogs and owners who use Consort Park every day as a great form of exercise, rather than having to walk their dogs on a lead around the filthy streets of Peckham.

- Option B retains the hills of the park which I like, and seems to be slightly more enclosed for Consort Park, rather than totally open for dogs to run out on to the road. I still firmly believe we need a gate or something similar to protect these dogs - please don't take the safety of these spaces away from our young dogs who need to be able to meet and socialise and play properly off-lead.
- I think that Consort Park should be kept gated and Dr Harold Moody Park should be open, and although this would be possible in option A, it probably makes more sense within option B. I would welcome improvements to Consort Park, particularly the removal of the old colourful flooring and the increase in wildlife this would bring.
- I use Consort Park a lot for my dog and therefore would prefer a more enclosed area.
- I would like to see the gates to Consort Park remain. It will be safer for both the families with young children as well as all the dogs that currently use Consort Park. Having designated areas will reduce potential conflict and actually allow more people how to enjoy the green spaces at once.
- Option A would create a safer environment for the park but only if the gate and fences are maintained for Consort Park, or if a section of Consort Park is fenced and gated to allow safe play for dogs and children. Consort Park is a large area and there is enough space for both a dog park and a nature area, as long as the spaces are separated by a fence.
- Removal of railings and gates will make the Consort Park unsafe.
- I feel very strongly that Consort Park should remain fenced and gated. Green spaces such as Nunhead Green are not child friendly or dog friendly due to a lack of fencing and gates. If you compare this space to Goose Green you will see a much higher number of people using the park and enjoying the space due to feeling safe as a result of fencing and gates. As a result, Goose Green is cleaner and looked after by the people who use it while Nunhead Green will often be littered and left in a poor way. Is there not assistive technology sensors that could help to promote access for people with sensory loss, wheelchair users, frail, aged etc. I also can't see local residents being happy with losing street parking spaces. There are flats as well as housing so the street is already filled with cars. If I had to vote I would choose Sturdy Road becoming one way and Consort Park remaining fenced and gated.
- I am opposed to the removal of the gates at Consort Park.
- For option A, it will be good to keep some of the railings, as Consort Park is regularly used by puppies and dog walkers now.
- I like A provided the fencing remains in its entirety for the reasons given in my first answer.
- If railings are to be removed, I think option B would have safety issues with regards to small children/dogs.

Like both options equally

- I can see the benefit of both options. It would cause a lot more traffic on the main road if this road was closed. We do drive down this road a lot. But it would make

the park safer and more beautiful. I would want to consider mostly what the people who live on that road would like as it will affect them the most.

- Both options are a big improvement, much more attractive.

For loss of parking

- There is already plenty of available parking in the area. The benefit of having the parks combined far outweighs the con of losing parking spaces.

Q6. What do you think about having a skate-friendly area in Dr Harold Moody Park?

Skate-friendly area comments	Percentage	Times mentioned
For skate area – safe space/much needed/awesome/great for young people/less intimidating than larger skate parks etc.	41%	*48*
Against skate area – not safe/noisy/anti-social behaviour/attract people from outside area/late night use/not enough space/won't be used etc.	38%	*45*
Unsure	7%	*8*
Prefer fitness area	5%	*6*
Prefer more green space	4.5%	*5*
Will only be used by older people/will only be used by skateboarders/great for older children	3.5%	*4*
If Sturdy Road was closed this could be used for skating	1%	*1*
Total	**100%**	***117***

For skate area

- A safe space for children to use their wheels.
- As the parks around the area has mostly more older youths and there aren't many younger people, so I feel like it's suitable for them to have this as there's a lot of people who do skate.
- Because it helps new skaters get used to it, it's also versatile to everyone, so it includes people. It's also would be the closest skate park in the area.
- Because we skaters need this.
- Give a focus and space to activities for youth, they really need it.
- Good to provide activities available to all.
- Great for children to have an area to be active.
- Great idea.
- Great idea to improve the use of the park.
- Great idea! My little boy and his friends love riding their bikes and scooters around the basketball at the moment so the skate park would be a very welcome addition.

- I am glad young people are being considered in the plans.
- I like this idea, it would increase the popularity of the park, many people are seen near Queen's Road and Peckham station skating and I believe that a safer space for them will be good for the community. It's a yes from me.
- I love this area.
- I prefer the facilities (in this option) as skaters have somewhere to skate.
- I think skate areas are great for older children to play in.
- I think this is fine. It will be great to have designated and safe play areas for children.
- I think this will be used a lot by local children.
- I think this would be a lovely addition. The basketball courts, which are there currently, are used day and night by friends playing not only basketball but hockey and other ball sports. The addition of the skate park would allow children and teens to have a safe space to play.
- I would sometimes use the skate park.
- If the hills are going to be reduced, it gives older children somewhere that is more challenging to play.
- It opens up the park to more activities, is hugely welcomed by adults and their families.
- It seems fine.
- It's good for people who like skating.
- Less people skating in all kinds of places would cause noise pollution etc. At least now it can be concentrated to one area.
- Looks fun!
- Love it - I think we need to offer more facilities for children and this is a great idea.
- My daughter is a skateboarder but feels intimidated by the bigger skate areas like Peckham Rye's. This would be perfect for her as a beginner and other youngsters starting out on skateboards or skates it looks fun in among the sports court and table tennis and outdoor gym. The fencing would need to be high between the court and skating otherwise the skaters could be a hit by footballs.
- My son likes to skateboard. We use Peckham Rye but could do with a few other variations of tracks.
- Nice kids use the court, that should continue with the new skate park. Really nice.
- Nice use of space for all age groups.
- Options to play for kids.
- Our children like using their scooters and bikes in a safe, enclosed area.
- Our children would love this.
- Provides me room for me to skateboard socially.
- See previous comments. I'm a big fan of this. It will appeal to a lot of people who currently use the park and may encourage new park users too. The only concern might be that it would get too busy - although it's a small park so I realise the skate area couldn't be bigger. It's a bit difficult to tell from the plans here what the fencing arrangement is, but as previously

mentioned it will be important to make sure that balls can't trip up skaters etc.

- Skate infrastructure is desperately needed in London this is a vital part of the proposal.
- Skating etc. is good physical activity for young people, and providing the facility would encourage more young people to take part.
- Skating is awesome.
- So that the kids can have fun.
- Somewhere off road and pavement to practice skating is a good idea.
- Strongly support this - skating is increasingly popular and it gives people somewhere to do it.
- The skate community is thriving in Peckham, so I think it will be great.
- There are children in this area and they need outdoor spaces with facilities.
- There is a lack of facilities in the area, I support the proposal.
- This would be great for our son, as the nearest one is over at Telegraph Hill in Lewisham.
- We can ride our bikes there too.
- We used the worn-out multi-coloured area to teach our children to cycle (not having a garden), as did several others so this would be great.

Against skate area

- As a resident of Sturdy Road noise is a major concern. We already have people playing basketball very late at night and at 6am even on a Sunday morning. What will stop people congregating in this skate park, playing music at all hours?
- As before I think a skate park should be in Peckham Rye. This park is too small for a skate park and I fear it will bring in anti-social behaviour.
- Excessive noise for local residents and disturbing excessive sound for other park users.
- I don't think it is good to have a designated area for skate-friendly area because it would attract too many individuals that do not live in the area such as skateboarders.
- Any 'wheel' sports like cycling, roller staking need space to ensure the safety of others. People will still end up using pathways anyway and, alternatively, when no one is using the ball court, individuals will have the opportunity to use that space.
- I live directly opposite the proposed site for a skate park and the noise would be
- horrible.
- I live locally and skateboard parks are very noisy.
- I think it could be quite noisy for local residents and park users. The park isn't quite big enough to accommodate.

- I think this is trying to achieve too much. I like the idea of a skate park in theory but the reality is that there is too much going on. I wouldn't want to play table tennis with a BMX biker directly behind me.
- This proposed layout would also result in table tennis balls constantly going into the skate park, which is dangerous. Also, skate parks tend to be quite noisy and it might disturb the neighbours. Dr Harold Moody Park is usually quite tranquil even when there are people playing basketball and football.
- I think this would be a huge mistake and I am 100% opposed to this.
- I wouldn’t use the skate park.
- It can be a source of anti-social behaviour. It can become very loud for the residents and visitors to the park.
- It will encourage noise and antisocial behaviour.
- It'll be loud. The inspiration for it apparently came from seeing people cycling/BMX through the parks which makes no sense as this skate set-up would be too small for BMX. There is also concern that it would make the whole park less friendly for vulnerable people or those with young kids.
- Kids get hurt from skaters and they skate all over the park.
- Kids will be put at risk.
- Mentioned in a previous comment. Increases noise, increases the potential for anti-social behaviour at night and creates a less inviting environment for children and families using the park.
- No way! Will be filled with youths and way too noisy. We won’t feel as safe.
- Please see above. It could encourage older children to congregate late in summer. Also, the acoustics of the park may make skating sound extra loud. Go to Peckham Rye skateboarding area to hear this.
- Skaters are really noisy.
- Skating is noisy due to the wheels tracks and tricks done. This would disturb residents. There is already a skate area in Peckham Rye Park.
- This will attract crowds staying late at night at a residential area.
- This would not be good for the residents on Sturdy Road they already have the noise of the basketball every day and it's so noisy they can't open their windows in the summer the skate board park would just be unbearable and attract the wrong clientele.
- Too noisy... skateboarding can be very noisy.
- Too noisy for surrounding houses.
- Very loud and messy, the parks are so narrow it will disturb residents.
- There are already multiple skate parks really close by in Peckham Rye and Telegram Hill.
- Very noisy.
- Dr Harold Moody Park is not a suitable park for children to practice wheeled sports activities such as skateboards, bikes etc. Considering where it is, it is not ideal enough to accommodate such practices. It would be hard to manage the risk assessment for those who you intend would use it. I think it would be dangerous we have to consider the number of young babies and toddlers using the parks.
- Given the limited space this will lead to a dominance of those on bikes and skates and will negatively impact on those playing ball games.
- I don't think there is enough space for it to be done well, it looks a bit like too much in one space.

- It will make the park too crowded with a lot of activities attracting more and more people. This is a residential area not a theme park. The park already attracts people from a wide area during the warmer months and sometimes gets very crowded. Surely any expansion of outside activity should be on Peckham Rye with its wide, open spaces and lack of proximity to peoples' homes. The planners have created some great drawings but I doubt if any of them actually live in the area, which in the summer months already attracts people at all hours of the night as well as day.
- My concern is that the skate area would create more noise in an already confined space. There are a lot of activities in a relatively small park.
- Not enough adequate space to house such a facility to accommodate large numbers it would attract to safely use it. Likely to have a lot of injuries with multiple users of mix ages on shooter, skates, skateboard and bikes colliding as they all use it. Peckham Rye Park has ample space to safely accommodate a skate park. The skate park will attract a lot of people to the park. The design of the skate park will encourage people to use it at all hours.
- The football pitch etc. gets good use. I think it's too small for a skate park.
- Totally opposed to the idea... it should be in a bigger park with a lot more space like Peckham Rye Park.
- Prefer if it was more for families.
- I do not think it would be used enough and there is already a skate park at Peckham Rye Park.
- A skate park comprises the different outdoor fitness equipment that can be used by all age groups. The proposed fitness equipment seems to be suitable for the young and not for those who may have limited mobility and disabilities and most definitely the over 50's. The proposed fitness area in option A looks more inviting and well thought out than in option B, which looks like people would be working on improving their upper body strength rather than their cardiovascular fitness levels, which is needed in order to tackle obesity in both children and adults.
- A skate park from my experience then stops attracting older people, rather than the young and this likely to be people from outside our direct community of the residents of Dr Harold Moody Park. The skate park is very likely to cause injuries with all the different bikes, scooters and skateboards all going on at the same time. It not a big enough space to 'house' this sort of activity.

Unsure

- Great for encouraging more exercise in young people and getting them outdoors, but those places can be very loud (the sound of skateboards) through the day and night. Will it be closed at night? They regularly become areas of congregation for playing loud music and drinking, and not sport, which may concern people living close by. Graffiti can also be an issue.
- I like it, but I think if the residents are too concerned about noise, so it should be scrapped.
- I like the thought of it but would be keen to understand how anti-social behaviour could be avoided? The basketball is used as a late-night hangout already with loud music etc. in summer

- I can see that skate areas were popular with youngsters in lockdown. Equally they can be noisy, but I guess the very near residents should have a say on that.
- We have mixed feelings about skateboarding.
- There isn't much choice nearby. This option is good, but will it take up too much space?
- More options for recreation. Although it doesn't look very big.
- Think it is great for children but not sure whether the area will look too crowded it is nice to have the green space too.

Prefer fitness area

- A skating space is already in Peckham Rye so I do not see why this is needed. I also think skating tends to be more for males. The basketball court is already mainly used by young males so it's important to ensure any other facility is aimed at increasing the participation of young women. Is a tennis court an option? Or an outdoor space that can be used for dance, stretch, yoga etc.
- Another table tennis table would be better.
- No one in this area would like this or use this. Better to put in exercise equipment.
- Prefer the gym.
- I would prefer gym equipment at Consort Park. This can be used for skating and riding.
- More interested in a gym area.
- This is good for skaters but could there be gym equipment as well.

Prefer more green space

- So many flats and so many people at home it is good to have green space that isn't filled with courts or equipment for families and neighbours to just be.
- Cannot see the need for it. More grass and plants in the area would be better for overall air quality.
- It seems like it would remove a lot of green space which is already limited in this area.
- Need more open green space for the environment.
- No more concrete there is plenty of place for kids to skate.

Will only be used by skateboarders/older people

- I think it would only be used for skateboards. Beginner cyclists will still use paths and I don't have a problem with that.

If Sturdy Road was closed this could be used for skating

- Under option A the cul-de-sac could be used for skating.

Q8. Do you have any further comments about the project?

Further comments	Percentage	Times mentioned
Positive	24%	*38*
Keep gates/fences	12.5%	*20*
Safety issues/anti-social behaviour	12.5%	*20*
Against parking loss	7%	*11*
Like wildlife improvements	5.5%	*9*
Against skate park	5%	*8*
Consider the residents	4%	*6*
Prefer option A	3.5%	*5*
Improvements will need to be maintained	3%	*4*
More wildlife improvements	3%	*4*
Like fitness area	2%	*3*
Needs further consultation	2%	*3*
Community activities	2%	*3*
Include a dog exercise area	2%	*3*
Include lighting	2%	*3*
Remove grassy mounds	1%	*2*
Ensure disability access	1%	*2*
For skate park	1%	*2*
Retain open spaces	1%	*2*
Don't remove grassy mounds	1%	*2*
Include community cafe	0.5%	*1*
Include community garden	0.5%	*1*
Friends of dogs group	0.5%	*1*
Keep parks separate	0.5%	*1*
Concern about interaction of dogs and park users	0.5%	*1*
Keep swings separate for different ages	0.5%	*1*
Prefer wooden play equipment	0.5%	*1*
Prefer larger play area	0.5%	*1*
Total	**100%**	***158***

Positive

- I live on Sturdy Road and would really like these changes and hopefully keep it safer for children.
- Please join the parks properly, that is a great proposal though, very excited about it!
- Both Masterplans contain a number of welcome improvements and we hope that funding can be secured and the project implemented.
- Both proposals seem good and I'm excited about them. I really like the combined park though.
- Even though the park is a bit unloved at present, it's a really popular park. One of the things I like most is that it appeals to such a wide range of ages and

backgrounds (as compared with some of the other parks in SE15 which, for whatever reasons, tend to appeal to more limited cultural/ethnic groups). Investing in the park and making a space that appeals to even more people could really help build community relations and wellbeing.

- Excellent to see regeneration in the area.
- Great project and options, good job Southwark Council!
- Great proposals.
- Great thing to do! Keep up the good work.
- Great to see improvements within the park. But it would be great to have pop up parks across the ward.
- Great! There is huge, poorly used green space here. A clear provision for where dogs can be allowed would be good as Consort Park is currently a local dog toilet. Joining the parks is a great idea. Local garages should be stopped parking damaged cars next to the park as makes road busier and unsafe. A LTN starting at the railway bridge and blocking entrance to Brayards road would be welcome too. Wooden play structures preferred. Thanks!
- I am glad the park is getting an overhaul, particularly Consort Park.
- I am very happy about the development - it looks amazing, well done Council.
- I am very happy that the Council is proposing this change. I am looking forward to enjoying the park more in the future. The plans look absolutely amazing.
- I like the skate park area, table tennis, outdoor gym area.
- I look forward to these changes to our local park.
- I really like the plans and Consort Park has been neglected for so long and it desperately needs these improvements. I have taken both my children to both parks for the best part of twenty years and I was at first shocked that a park space was open for children that had bricks in the mounds! Disability access is so important and to make it family friendly with no dogs to worry about.
- I think both approaches here will be a great improvement and I like the balance of nature/somewhere for people to come and sit vs. somewhere for somewhere for people to be active.
- I think this is a much needed project, and I'm happy to help. We have a one and a three year old so we use this park quite a lot as we're local people. This would make a really positive impact on the lives of our children, thank you.
- I welcome the investment. Thank you. My kids have always loved Dr Harold Moody Park because of the grass and space to run around in the playground. I hope that is kept.
- I would really appreciate if the skate part be included in the final plans, also I'm not opposed to both parks being combined together. Maybe an indoor/outdoor gym area for the other residents and the table tennis area be very much included, also the court area should stay and add a greater sized children's play area. Thank you I hope you listen to my requests.
- It's exciting! I look forward to see these improvements in place.
- Looks good on the whole, thank you.
- Lovely proposals. The road closure would be a bit annoying as Brayards is one way at that end so more of a detour but think the linked park benefits out-weigh this.
- My family and I go to Dr Harold Moody Park a lot with our young children . We actually really like the park as it is now, but these plans look really nice and exciting and will make it even better, so we support them.

- Please go through with the great ideas.
- So excited for this to happen. Can't wait until we can enjoy it fully.
- Thank you for investing time and resources in our local park! I hope you're also consulting with local children directly.
- This project will not only enhance the area, meaning Peckham will become a desirable place to live. It will allow wildlife to flourish and the community to grow around it. It will be a wonderful addition to the neighbourhood.
- This renovation of Dr Harold Moody Park and Consort Park are definitely needed and would be very welcomed as a local resident. Thank you!
- Very pleased to hear about it!
- Very excited to see this, they're often overlooked the little parks especially Consort Park.

Keep gates/fences

- The plan states 'G' removing the existing fence, but also that it will be replaced with a new fence. Having the park fenced off along Gordon Road would make children safe from ongoing traffic that will be accessing Gordon Road.
- Again, keep part of this park a safe space for dogs and dog owners to exercise and socialise our pets. It is so important to allow dogs to meet and play together in an environment like Consort Park, where they can't run into a road, don't bother many other park-goers, and can properly run around. Every other park in the area is open to roads, or too small/busy/cluttered to allow dogs this freedom. Considering the massive boom in dog ownership during lockdown, locals need a safe space close by, where their dogs can interact and roam safely, so they can learn how to be social, friendly animals and so they get the right kind of exercise. Not all dogs can roam free off-lead in other parks. Sometimes we use Consort Park two or three times a day, as do around 30 other people, and we have become a close, friendly, welcoming community of dog owners who stay in touch and help each other, and happily include others to join us.
- Great plan to create a sustainable community park for everyone in this area. Keep the fences on Consort Park so dog owners can let the dogs run free.
- I like option A but could you keep the gates. There must be an easy way to keep gates but also allow mobility access.
- I like the ideas but we do need an enclosed area with gates still in place. We have a wonderful community of families and dog owners that rely on the safety of being fully enclosed.
- I support the improvements to Dr Harold Moody Park however I feel like combining the parks and removing the gates for Consort Park will discourage dog owners. Please also consider their needs in this project.
- I think either will be nice, but please do keep the gates for safety.
- I would like to stress that I enjoy exercising my small dog in the safety of Consort Park with gates on all entrances. It would be a shame to lose this safety as most parks in the area do not have gates on the park entrances.
- If we were able to have larger gates to enable anyone to access the park but also keep the dog safe inside.
- It is important that both children and dogs have safe places to play. Keeping separate gated areas is crucial in making this possible and will allow more people to enjoy the green spaces at once.

- It seems dog owners are being pushed out of many parks. Most of us are responsible and have friendly well-behaved dogs and pick up after them. We need Consort Park as it is a safe enclosed space to exercise and socialise our dogs.
- More consideration for the safety aspect of the parks. Consort Park has been used over the years primarily by parents and dog walkers who want an enclosed safe area to let their kids/dogs roam free. By removing gates, it creates an unsafe area for kids/dogs. My family have found a community with the dog walkers at this park and if the gates were to be removed it would force us to travel further to different parks and dismember this community.
- Thank you for the consultation. Please recognise dog owners as frequent, legitimate users of the park who are happy to share it with other locals and who largely use it responsibly and considerately. Accordingly, please avoid taking actions to make these parks less usable and welcoming for local dog owners. If the gates are removed in this park, Southwark should provide an alternative by ensuring that there is another safely gated park in the nearby area.
- There is a little thriving community of dog owners who congregate in Consort Park and it has much value for me as a local resident. We really look after each other and have strong ties. Removing any of the gates or railings of Consort Park will be threaten to destroy that and our ability to play with our dogs without the threat of them getting hurt.
- We have a little dog that we love to take to Consort Park. We would love it if the area is still fenced in - otherwise all proposals look pretty amazing.

Safety issues/anti-social behaviour

- It's brilliant, especially if the road is closed. But Consort Road needs to be made safer - drivers speed up it, and there aren't enough safe crossing points for people wanting to use the park from the west side. Maybe some speed cameras and paid parking could help pay for the park improvements?
- Much needed. And great it’s being looked at. Good to make it more child-friendly. Will need enforcing to make sure dog owners do not continue to destroy the grass or leave mess. It will need to be vandal and graffiti proof too.
- Please keep some railings/gates around the park. When supervising young children, it is good to let them run around independently, knowing that they will not be able to run onto the road. Please separate the swings from the other play equipment - it is good to let a young children run around the play equipment on their own, and climb on the equipment as far as they are able, but if this is mixed with swings, this will not be safe.
- Until Southwark Council sort out the congestion children are going to be at risk.
- An overhaul of the park facilities is a welcome proposition. As a regular user of the park, I have had my concerns about some of the people who use the park in the evenings, the rubbish that is left behind and the blatant anti-social behaviour. Visibility is poor across the park due to the hills and lack of lighting. And the space just generally feels unloved and tired. However, I would like to note that the use of the park has changed over the last year and this should be taken into consideration. Dog ownership has increased significantly during 2020 and Consort Park has become wonderful place for our dogs to socialise and a real community has been created - a community that cleans up after their own dogs but also after those who seem less concerned about picking up their

dog's mess! We are a responsible group of people and would be grateful if our needs could be considered alongside the other users of the park.
- Anti-social behaviour is not currently an issue but having a skate park will encourage anti-social behaviour.
- Please be aware of the noise impact of your plans. A lot of people will be working from home from now on and daytime use of the park could become a real nuisance.
- Don't give in to the very vocal 'dog lobby' to keep the park gated. Currently it's like a dog pound - often full of dogs off the lead and commercial dog walkers. These dogs can intimidate people though the owners don't mean harm. Please consider a much more radical NATURE FRIENDLY approach where sections with nature can be more protected and not trampled/attacked. Thank you.

Against parking loss

- I really think that the CPZ consultation should be prioritised in conjunction with this, as my opinions on the two options are dependent on the introduction of a CPZ.
- I'm in favour of this on the condition that you make more residents' parking for Gordon Road, Ellery Road and Sturdy Road otherwise this will make an already horrendous situation worse.
- Just simply that these plans should not go ahead without residents' parking.
- Parking - I think if you join the parks, make the residents parking bays on Gordon Road available to more houses. Currently people from number 125 and above cannot have a permit, which leaves the current bays empty and pointless.
- Remove the permit on one side of the road that no one uses, which causes a shortage of parking and help this area.
- Parking spaces are important to residents. Second table tennis table and a well-equipped outdoor fitness are most welcome additions to the park.

Like wildlife improvements

- I feel that all that is being proposed would bring these two parks together as one. More flowers, more activities. It has been run down for many years. I cannot wait to see these two parks in all their glory. They are beautiful areas, which should be maintained and used in the way parks should be used. To relax in, just see the laughter and happiness in all who will use it.
- I would love to see the new flowers and shrubs planted in the park(s) to be bee-friendly!
- Let's have more plants and trees for a healthier future for the children.
- More plants, trees and benches for people to meet outside would be really beneficial.
- Would like all planting to be native and/or wildlife-friendly.
- More wildlife improvements
- Can we have more fruit trees like the cherry trees that are there at the moment? And some (wild) bee friendly landscaping features, e.g. little bits of dead wood and some rocks and sand.
- If you are planting trees what about some fruit trees?
- It's great to see more ambitious planning for our parks, thank you. I would like to see really brilliant design, and they do look good, with a huge emphasis on

plants and trees that support insects and birds. Given the area's history as market garden territory, I would like to see more fruit trees too. I think this approach should be extended to all Council owned green space in estates, too, like the estates south of Nunhead Lane, Daniels Road, Tappesfield Road etc. No one uses the bleak 'lawns'; we need planting, imaginative landscaping and more seating. It could be amazing.

- Would really like some more sensory plants, planting simple seating and open the possibility of simple community events such as community picnics, table sale, nature minibeast trail or even a simple out door singalong. There is an issue presently of many dogs and owners gathering, digging up grass, dogs behaving aggressively and issues of dog mess which has led to less people and children wanting to wonder through the park how might you address these issues?

Against skate park

- I like the proposals with the exception of the skate park. I don't think enough thought has been given to the proximity of the skate park to the table tennis table and other ball games.
- Please, no skate park.
- Remove skating areas.
- Remove the skateboard and bike aspect from the proposal, keep both parks separate and leave the limited car parking for residents.
- We think it great that Southwark is developing Dr Harold Moody Park and creating more opportunities for all age groups to enjoy using the proposed developments. But the skate park does present problems: I am a PE teacher and I know from experience areas with bikes, skates and scooters can lead to injuries, some life threatening. The proposed area is not going to be big enough to house it and it will need supervision especially when young children and teenagers and adults are using it at the same time. Young children enjoy riding on the pathways. We love to see more seated areas where residents and enjoy talking and getting to know each other.
- The fitness area is a fantastic inclusion but it would be to the scale of plan A.
- Our preference is plan B but without the skate park and joining of the two parks which just added to the cost of redevelopment and put greater strain on parking.
- Please consider all of us that have purchased flats in the building within Dr Harold Moody Park. We had no idea there were plans to change the park. We're all very worried about extra noise with increased usage.
- Please consider planting more trees around the property to make a distinct different between the public park area and our private residents' area.
- I would discourage the skate park. There is already so much shouting and noise from the basketball court.

Consider the residents

- I am not sufficiently local to this park to have a view. People who use the area regularly should decide as they will have to do the work.
- Sturdy Road is in desperate need of parking permits. Please can residents' views on Sturdy Road be taken into consideration as it these houses that will have the parks in front and back of their homes. The park, basketball court, and

preposterous gym/skate park will be directly in front of our homes. Last year a ball was thrown from the basketball court straight into our front room window and has even dented my car before. We do not even have double glazing so noise is a major issue. I suffer with PTSD & anxiety and moved to this house as it was quiet, I am concerned that there may be groups of people now congregating right on my doorstep.
- Surrounding locals should have best choice!
- The park is in desperate need to be updated and we asked our 5 year old on his opinion on all your play park questions, so those are his answers. Please consider the people who live on Sturdy Road as it affects us the most. We have constant problems with people playing basketball and playing music in the early hours and the turning area for cars is right outside 2 particular houses, this could cause lights to shine through the windows.

Improvements will need to be maintained
- An improvement in the surface for the basketball and football pitch would be optimal as the surfaces have degraded and can be quite slippery even when dry.
- For me the quality and upkeep of the basketball court is key. Can this be prioritised.
- Whichever model you choose, regular maintenance will be key to success.

Needs further consultation
- It will be good if the plan is reconfigured to reflect the changing demographics in the area and the high number of dog owners in the area since the pandemic. The profile of people using the parks have completely changed since a year ago and the result of the last consultation on longer represents the park users now.
- There should be a Zoom session with residents to talk further about this.

Include a dog exercise area
- It's great that you're doing this as these parks are well used but very tired, Consort Park is especially run down and not safe which is why we don't use it. People let their dogs run free in Consort Park so include a dedicated and fenced off dog exercise area.
- Many people currently use Consort Park as an enclosed dog space. There are almost no dedicated spaces for dogs in Southwark. It would be beneficial for dog-owners and non-dog-owners for a dedicated space to be provided in any redevelopment.
- We need to keep one area which can be sectioned off so dogs can be off the lead safely.

Include lighting
- I am sorry but other than improving footpaths and lighting- solar lighting in the trees would be good - I would not make many changes to the park.
- I think lack of lighting is the main safety related issue in the parks. I am also concerned by the proposal to remove gates. Consort Park has always been children and dog friendly, it would be less of both if this were to happen.

Don’t remove grassy mounds

- Please keep the gates and don’t flatten the hills.
- Maybe the hills too for interest.

Retain open spaces

- Please leave open space for play. Flowers are pretty but will get destroyed if planted in large areas.

Include community garden

- Would be great to have an area for a community garden, like the one on Stanbury Road. I think they do it once a week on a Saturday, it doesn’t take up loads of space.

Friends of Dogs group

- I have been thinking about creating a Friends & Dog Pack group. Would love to have a community lead cafe in there.

Concern about interaction of dogs and park-users

- And please consider the interaction of dogs and park-users.