

Addendum to the Section 106 Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy Supplementary Planning Document

Habitats Regulations Assessment

January 2016

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	3
2	The Need for Appropriate Assessment	3
3	Identifying Likely Significant Effects	4
4	Methodology	5
5	Identification of Relevant Sites	5
6	Appraisal Framework	12
7	Screening analysis of the SPD Addendum	13
8	Conclusion	15
	References	15

1 Introduction

This report presents the findings of a screening exercise undertaken to determine whether stages 2 and 3 of the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) process are needed for the Addendum to the Section 106 Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy Supplementary Planning Document ("the SPD addendum"). The council has also undertaken a separate Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening Assessment for the document.

The SPD addendum does not constitute new policy. Rather, it will be used to implement a number of existing policies and guidance as set out in the NPPF, PPG, London Plan (2015) and the Core Strategy (2011).

2 The need for Habitats Regulations Assessment

In October 2005, the European Court of Justice ruled that HRA must be carried out on all planning policy documents in the UK. The purpose of HRA of planning policies is to ensure that the protection and integrity of European sites¹ (also known as the Natura 2000 network) is part of the planning process at the regional and local level. It is the responsibility of the Local Planning Authority (LPA) to ensure that the HRA process is carried out in accordance with the Habitat Directive (Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora and Directive 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds) and Regulation 102 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) (the 'Habitats Regulations').

The Habitats Regulations requires that authorities assess the effects of land use plans on European sites to determine whether there will be any 'likely significant effects' (LSEs) on any Natura 2000 sites as a result of the plan's implementation (either on its own or in combination with other plans or projects). If there are LSEs there will be a need for the authority to undertake an Appropriate Assessment to determine whether or not there will be any adverse effects on the sites' integrity.

Guidance from the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG) on Appropriate Assessment² states that: 'The purpose of Appropriate Assessment (AA) of land use plans is to ensure that protection of the integrity of 'European sites' is a part of the planning process at a regional and local level.

The DCLG guidance summarises the AA process prescribed in Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive into three main stages:

¹ Strictly, 'European sites' are any Special Area of Conservation (SAC) from the point at which the European Commission and the UK Government agree the site as a 'Site of Community Importance' (SCI); any classified Special Protection Area (SPA); any candidate SAC (cSAC); and (exceptionally) any other site or area that the Commission believes should be considered as an SAC but which has not been identified by the government. However the terms is also commonly used when referring to potential SPAs (pSPAs), to which the provisions of Article 4 (4) of Directive 2009/147/EC (the new wild birds directive) are applied; and to possible SACs (pSACs) and listed RAMSAR Sites, to which the provisions of the Habitats Regulations are applied a matter of government policy (NPPF para 118) when considering development proposals that may affect them. The Natura 2000 network is therefore used in this report in its broadest sense, as an umbrella term for all of the above designated sites.

umbrella term for all of the above designated sites.

Planning for the Protection of European Sites: Appropriate Assessment Guidance For Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Development Documents, DCLG, August 2006

- 1. likely significant effects (AA task 1);
- 2. appropriate assessment and ascertaining the effect on site integrity (AA task 2):
- mitigation and alternative solutions (AA task 3); and *imperative reasons of overriding public interest.

The test to identify whether a plan option is 'likely to have a significant effect' on a European site is also referred to as 'screening'. This determines whether stages 2 and 3 of the HRA are required.

Box 1 Stages of Habitats Regulations Assessment

Stage 1 - Screening:

This stage identifies the likely impacts upon a European Site of a project or plan, either alone or 'in combination' with other projects or plans, and considers whether these impacts are likely to be significant.

Stage 2 - Appropriate Assessment:

Where there are likely significant effects, this stage considers the effects of the plan or project on the integrity of the relevant European Sites, either alone or 'in combination' with other projects or plans, with respect to the sites' structure and function and their conservation objectives. Where it cannot be concluded that there will be no adverse effects on sites' integrity, it is necessary to consider potential mitigation for these effects.

Stage 3 - Assessment of Alternative Solutions:

Where adverse effects remain after the inclusion of mitigation, this stage examines alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the project or plan that avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of European Sites.

Stage 4 – Assessment Where No Alternative Solutions Exist and Where Adverse Impacts Remain:

This stage assesses compensatory measures where it is deemed that the project or plan should proceed for imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI). The EC guidance does not deal with the assessment of IROPI.

3 Identifying likely significant effects (LSEs)

The first stage, screening for HRA, will determine if planning policy and guidance documents are likely to have a significant effect on the conservation objectives of the Natura 2000 sites. This will determine whether stages 2 and 3 of the HRA are required. In considering whether the plan policy, guidance or site is likely to have a significant effect on a Natura 2000 site, it should be noted that a site may be located either within or outside the area covered by the plan as significant effects may be incurred in cases where the area of the plan is some distance away.

When considering the LSEs of a policy, it is recognised that some policy 'types' cannot affect any European sites. Different guidance documents suggest various classification and referencing systems to help identify those policies than can be safely screened out to ensure the HRA focuses on the policies with any potential to result in LSEs. Table 2.1 summarises the characteristics of policies that can usually be screened out.

HABITATS REGULATIONS ASSESSMENT (HRA)

Table 2.1 Policy 'types' that can usually be screened out

Broad Policy Type	Notes
General statements of policy	The European Commission recognises* that plans or plan components that are general statements of policy or political aspirations cannot have significant effects
General design / guidance criteria	A general 'criteria based' policy expresses the tests or expectations of the plan-making body when it comes to consider particular proposals, or relate to design or other qualitative criteria which do not themselves lead to development (e.g. controls on building design).
External plans / projects	Plans or projects that are proposed by other plans and are referred to in the plan being assessed for completeness
Environmental protection policies	Policies designed to protect the natural or built environment will not usually have significant or adverse effects

^{*} EC, 2000, Managing Natura 2000 sites: the provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC April 2000 at 4.3.2

4 Methodology

The legal requirement to undertake HRAs is set out in the Habitats Directive. However, there is no standardised method for undertaking an HRA. The council has followed the screening method used on the HRA of the London Plan iterations (2009 and 2013) and also the methodology used to prepare the HRA for the Core Strategy (2011) and subsequent planning documents.

The methodology used is based primarily on the guidance by Tydesley and Associates prepared for Natural England - 'The Assessment of Regional Spatial Strategies and Sub Regional Strategies under the Provisions of the Habitats Regulations' (2006).

5 Identification of relevant sites

Using the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) website³, and in line with the methodology employed in the HRA of Further Alterations to the London Plan, the council identified those Natura 2000 sites within a 10km zone extending from the boundary of the borough. SACs, SPAs, RAMSARS and OMSs were included. European sites were scoped into the study if they occurred either wholly or partially within this geographical area. The council identified that there are no Natura 2000 sites in Southwark. Four sites are partially within 10km of Southwark and are set out below:

-

³ www.jncc.gov.uk

Identified conservation sites of EC importance

Sites at least partially in Southwark

None

Sites at least partially within 10km of Southwark

Wimbledon Common (SAC)

Richmond Park (SAC)

Epping Forest (SAC)

Lee Valley (SPA)

The information for these sites concerning the rationale for EU conservation has been taken from the HRA Screening report on the Consultation replacement London Plan (October 2009) which also includes supplementary information in order to assist in considering the vulnerability of sites to potential adverse impacts. This is presented in the table on the following pages.

Site Description table

This information has been sourced from the HRA Screening report on the Consultation replacement London Plan (October 2009). The contents of the table were compiled with reference to the sources listed below, and also informed by consultation with Natural England.

<u>Site name and location</u> Obtained from Natural England 'Natura 2000 Forms' and RAMSAR forms from the JNCC website.

Qualifying Interest (habitats and species)

Denotes the habitats and species for which the sites have been awarded EU conservation status. It is these qualifying features which the HRA must safeguard. This information is obtained from 'Natura 2000' and RAMSAR forms. The qualifying features form the basis of Natural England's 'conservation objectives for the European interest on SSSIs', which were drawn upon for pertinent additional information.

Conservation objectives

Conservation objectives are set by Natural England (NE) to ensure that the obligations of the Habitats Regulations are met, particularly to ensure that there should be no deterioration or significant disturbance of the qualifying features from their condition at the time the status of the site was formally identified. The conservation objectives are also essential in determining whether the effects of a plan or project are likely to have a significant effect on the qualifying interests of the site.

• Site sensitivities

The key site sensitivities / vulnerabilities for each habitat type were established by reviewing information provided within the conservation objectives for each site and also from site condition monitoring (typically of the underlying Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) designation) and from discussions with Natural England

• Current condition (July 2006 survey)

Threats

Information pertaining to the potential threats. From Natura 2000, RAMSAR, and Conservation Objectives forms.

Natura 2000 Site	Location	Qualifying Interest (Habitats and Species)	Conservation Objectives	Site Sensitivities	Current Condition (July 2006 condition survey)	Threats
Wimbledon Common SAC	Within GLA boundary	Lucanus cervus (stag beetle)	The conservation objectives for the European interest on the SSSI are	Water quality – e.g. pollution through groundwater and	Area references la	Site is located in an urban area and experiences
(348.31 ha)	The following boroughs are within or adjacent to the European sites: • Merton • Wandsworth • Richmond upon Thames • Kingston upon Thames	Annex I habitats present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for selection of this site: Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix European dry heaths	to maintain*, in favourable condition, the: • European dry heath • Northern Atlantic wet heath with <i>Erica tetralix</i> to maintain*, in favourable condition, the habitats for the population of: • Stag beetle (<i>Lucanus cervus</i>) * Maintenance implies restoration if the feature is not currently in favourable condition.	surface run-off sources Water level — maintenance of water table Heavy recreational pressure Spread of non- native / invasive species Scrub encroachment Atmospheric pollution (nutrient deposition and acidification)	Area unfavourable but recovering 59%	intensive recreational pressure which can result in damage, particularly to the sensitive areas of heathland. Air pollution is also thought to be having an impact on the quality of heathland habitat.
Richmond Park SAC (846.68 ha)	Within GLA boundary The following boroughs are within or adjacent to the European sites:	Lucanus cervus (stag beetle)	The conservation objectives for the European interest on the SSSI are: to maintain, in favourable condition, the habitats for the population of: Stag beetle (Lucanus cervus) The conservation objectives	Water level Water quality – nutrient enrichment from fertiliser run- off etc Scrub encroachment (often due to	Area favourable 6% Area unfavourable recovering 8% Area unfavourable no change 86%	Site is surrounded by urban areas and experiences high levels of recreational pressure. This does not directly affect

	 Richmond upon Thames Kingston upon Thames Wandsworth Merton 		for the Richmond Park proposed Special Area of Conservation are, in accordance with para C 10 of PPG 9, the reasons for which the cSAC was proposed.	undergrazing) Development pressure Spread of introduced nonnative species Human disturbance (off-road vehicles, burning (vandalism)) Atmospheric pollution e.g. nitrous oxides from vehicle exhausts		the European interest feature however.
Epping Forest SAC	Partially within GLA boundary The following boroughs are within or adjacent to the European sites: Waltham Forest Redbridge Enfield	Annex I habitats that are a primary reason for selection of this site: • Atlantic acidophilous beech forests with Ilex and sometimes also Taxus in the shrub layer (Quercion robori- petraeae or Ilici- Fagenion) Annex I habitats	The Conservation Objectives for this site are, subject to natural change, to maintain the following habitats and geological features in favourable condition, with particular reference to any dependent component special interest features (habitats, vegetation types, species, species assemblages etc.) for which the land is designated (SSSI, SAC, SPA, Ramsar) as individually listed in Table 1.	Water quality – e.g. pollution through groundwater and surface run-off sources Water level – maintenance of water table essential e.g. restrict new drainage ditches around wet woodlands Heavy recreational pressure Spread of nonnative / invasive	Area favourable 30% Area unfavourable recovering 34% % area unfavourable no change 26% % area unfavourable declining 10% Reintroduction of pollarding and wood pasture management is helping to reverse the decline of the epiphytic bryophyte population.	Existing air pollution, particularly arising from traffic is thought to contribute to poor condition of parts of the site. Increasing recreational pressure could have an impact on heathland areas.

		present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for selection of this site: Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix European dry heaths Annex II species that are a primary reason for selection of this site: Lucanus cervus (stag beetle)	 (Biodiversity Action Plan categories) Lowland wood pastures and parkland Broadleaved, mixed and yew woodland Dwarf shrub heath Acid grassland Neutral grassland Standing open water and canals Fen, marsh and swamp 	Scrub encroachment Atmospheric pollution (nutrient deposition and acidification) Development pressure		
Lee Valley SPA / Ramsar (447.87 ha)	Partially within GLA boundary The following boroughs are within or adjacent to the European sites: • Enfield • Waltham Forest • Haringey • Hackney	SPA: Over winter: Botaurus stellaris (bittern) Over winter: Anas strepera (gadwall) Anas clypeata (shoveler) Ramsar: The site also qualifies as a Ramsar Wetland of assemblage	The conservation objectives for the European interest on the SSSI are to maintain, in favourable condition, the habitats for the populations of migratory bird species + of European importance, with particular reference to: open water and surrounding marginal habitats Gadwall, Shoveler *maintenance implies restoration if the feature is not currently in favourable condition. The Conservation	Water quality - eutrophication is a threat, particularly from point source pollution (e.g. sewage outfalls) but also from surface run-off or groundwater pollution and atmospheric deposition Water levels – a high and stable water table is fundamental. Disturbance to bird	There are a number of SSSIs contained within the Lee Valley Ramsar site of which Walthamstow Reservoirs, Waltham Abbey and Turnford and Cheshunt Pits are 100% favourable. Walthamstow Marshes are 36% favourable and 63% unfavourable but recovering.	Most of the site is in favourable condition, though an increase in recreational use could affect wintering wildfowl numbers. There are currently no factors having a significant adverse effect on the site's

	qualification: A	Objectives for the Lee Valley	feeding and	character.
	wetland of	SPA are, in accordance with	roosting habitat	GHAFACIET.
	international	para C 10 of PPG9 9, the	(noise / visual)	
	importance.	reasons for which the SPA	(Holde / Vidual)	
	importance.	was classified.	Siltation (e.g.	
		was classified.	excessive poaching	
		The SPA includes land	of lake margins by	
			stock, suspended	
		within: Amwell Quarry SSSI,	sediments leading	
		Rye Meads SSSI, Turnford and Cheshunt Pits SSSI and	to transport of	
		Walthamstow Reservoirs	nutrients)	
			Hatherito)	
		SSSI	Scrub or tree	
			encroachment	
			(leading to shading,	
			nutrient and	
			hydrological	
			effects)	
			,	
			Spread of	
			introduced non-	
			native species	
			Recreational	
			pressure /	
			disturbance	
			(particularly on-	
			water activities with	
			potential to disturb	
			sediment and	
			increase turbidity in	
			lakes)	
			D	
			Development	
			pressure	
			Diffuse air pollution	
			from traffic and	
			agriculture.	
1			agniculture.	

6 Appraisal Framework

The SPD addendum has been analysed to assess whether it would be likely to result in significant adverse impacts on European sites. The Natural England guidance⁴ defines 'likely' as meaning 'probably', not merely a 'fanciful possibility'. The potentially adverse impacts were screened according to the approach set out in Appendix A and Figure 3 of the guidance. However criteria 2 and 3 were not considered because these are applicable to the assessment of Regional Spatial Strategies (now abolished), not Local Plan Documents.

A precautionary approach was adopted so that the assessment also considered cumulative impacts therefore all potentially significant adverse impacts were assessed.

Coding used for recording effects / impacts on European Sites (from Tydesley and Associates, 2006, Annex 2).

Coding used for recording effects/impacts on European Sites

Reason why policy will have no effect on a European Site

- **1**. The policy will not itself lead to development (e.g. it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy)
- **4**. Concentration of development in urban areas will not affect European Site and will help to steer development and land use change away from a European Site and associated sensitive areas.
- **5.** The policy will help to steer development away from a European Site and associated sensitive areas, e.g. not developing in areas of flood risk or areas otherwise likely to be affected by climate change.
- 6. The policy is intended to protect the natural environment, including biodiversity.
- **7.** The policy is intended to conserve or enhance the natural, built or historic environment, and enhancement measures will not be likely to have any effect on a European Site.

Reason why policy could have a potential effect

8. The document steers a quantum or type of development towards, or encourages development in, an area that includes a European Site or an area where development may indirectly affect a European Site.

Reason why policy would be likely to have a significant effect

9. The policy makes provision for a quantum, or kind of development that in the location(s) proposed would be likely to have a significant effect on a European Site. The proposal must be subject to appropriate assessment to establish, in light of the site's conservation objectives, whether it can be ascertained that the proposal would not adversely affect the integrity of the site.

A Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) screening (stage 1) has been undertaken to assess the impact of the SPD addendum. This is set out below. The preparation of the SPD addendum is considered likely to have no significant adverse effect on the European sites therefore it is deemed to require no further HRA (stages 2 and 3) to be undertaken.

⁴ The Assessment of Regional Spatial Strategies and Sub Regional Strategies under the Provisions of the Habitats Regulations' (2006).

7 Screening Analysis of the SPD addendum

This section screens the SPD addendum for impacts on Natura 2000 sites. Each guidance reference within the SPD has been assessed against the criteria provided in section 6 which itself is based on guidance prepared by Tydesley and Associates for Natural England titled, 'The Assessment of Regional Spatial Strategies and Sub Regional Strategies under the Provisions of the Habitats Regulations 2006.'

Analysis

SPD Addendum Guid	ance		Why	Essential recommendations to avoid potential negative effects on European sites.	
Topic	Guidance Ref	Guidance summary			
New s106 requirement	R1	In the period prior to adopting a revised CIL charging schedule, major developments located in the south of the opportunity area which provide 100 or more residential homes will be expected to contribute towards the cost of delivering identified transport infrastructure projects. We will ordinarily expect the contribution to be £164 per square metre of residential floorspace, subject to the legal test of regulation 122 of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 as amended. Affordable housing will be exempt. Contributions from large scale non-residential development of over 10,000sqm floorspace will be negotiated on a case by case basis.	No	1	None
Change to regulation 123 list	R2	Based on this new evidence and to support delivery of the BLE station infrastructure, we propose to amend our Regulation 123 List for the period in which the s106 transport tariff is in place to clarify that contributions towards	No	1	None

construction of the two BLE stations in the opportunity area will be secured via s106 rather than CIL. Contributions to delivery of the BLE itself, excluding the stations, will continue to be secured via CIL.		
The revised Regulation 123 list will be consulted on alongside the SPD addendum. The Regulation 123 list will be updated again following the adoption of the revised CIL rates to enable CIL to contribute towards all elements of the BLE.		

8 Conclusion

The SPD addendum is not likely to have any significant discernible adverse impact on European sites therefore stage 2 (appropriate assessment and ascertaining the effect on site integrity) and stage 3 (mitigation and alternative solutions) of the HRA process are not considered necessary.

References

Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report – Consultation replacement London Plan (Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London), October 2009

Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report – Further Alterations to the London Plan (Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London), December 2013.

Planning for the Protection of European Sites: Appropriate Assessment Guidance for Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Development Documents, DCLG, August 2006.

The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (England and Wales) Regulations DEFRA 2010.

Tyldesley and Associates - prepared for Natural England Guidance - The Assessment of Regional Spatial Strategies and Sub Regional Strategies under the Provisions of the Habitats Regulations 2006.